

Ref.	Date	Name	Organisation	Address	Postcode	Section	Support	Oppose	Supp w. mods	Comments	Reasons	Report notification?	Adoption notification?
TNP-01	09/02/2024	Place Shaping Team	South Norfolk Council	The Horizon Centre, Broadland Business Park, Peachman Way, Norwich	NR7 0WF	Policy 1: Housing Type & Mix	-	-	Yes	-	The Council welcomes the amendments that have been made to this policy and notes that there has been an amendment to the initial wording, so that it now states, 'Non-strategic housing proposals...', rather than 'All housing proposals...' In order to give the plan more longevity and enable it to be more reactive to changes, the Council considers that it is necessary to amend the second sentence of paragraph 28, as follows: 'For housing sites that meet a strategic need, such as those identified through South Norfolk's Local Plan, the housing mix will therefore need to reflect the needs ...'	Y	Y
TNP-02	09/02/2024	Place Shaping Team	South Norfolk Council	The Horizon Centre, Broadland Business Park, Peachman Way, Norwich	NR7 0WF	Policy 2: Design	-	-	Yes	-	The Council notes the amendment to the policy. However, there doesn't appear to be any justification as to why these particular elements of the Design Codes are considered to be more important than others. In order to ensure full weight is able to be given to those emphasised elements of the design code, the Council recommends that this emphasis on particular elements is discussed within the supporting text, with adequate background justification provided.	Y	Y
TNP-03	09/02/2024	Place Shaping Team	South Norfolk Council	The Horizon Centre, Broadland Business Park, Peachman Way, Norwich	NR7 0WF	Policy 2: Design	-	-	-	Yes	Minor amendment There is a typo in criterion j) which requires attention – the word 'and', following '...In the Design Codes', needs removing.	Y	Y
TNP-04	09/02/2024	Place Shaping Team	South Norfolk Council	The Horizon Centre, Broadland Business Park, Peachman Way, Norwich	NR7 0WF	Section 5 – Development of the former May Gurney Site; and Policy 3 – May Gurney Site	-	-	Yes	-	The Council welcomes the amendments that have been made to this policy, following discussion, and considers that this has resulted in a much more effective and positive policy that reflects the requirements of the community and complements the existing Development Plan and the outline permission in relation to the site. There are a small number of minor modifications that the Council would recommend, in order to improve clarity, and these are detailed below: Within the first paragraph, there is the statement, 'opportunities should be taken to enhance the countryside landscape setting'. The Council would query whether this could be classed as purely constituting countryside, and therefore consider it is necessary to modify this paragraph to state, 'opportunities should be taken to enhance the landscape setting'. The first paragraph, under the sub-heading, 'Green Infrastructure', states a preference for urban open space to be located 'towards the river edge'. The Council consider it necessary to modify this to state, 'linked to the river edge', in order to enable some flexibility and diversity in terms of the form and layout of open space in this location. The final paragraph under 'Green Infrastructure' sets out the rationale for tree-lining streets. The Council considers that further, potential benefits should be alluded to here, as per the following suggested modification: 'Wherever possible streets should be tree lined. In addition to their visual appeal, recreational value and reflection of the more rural immediate area, trees can be part of sustainable drainage schemes, create beneficial shading, and contribute to biodiversity.'	Y	Y
TNP-05	09/02/2024	Place Shaping Team	South Norfolk Council	The Horizon Centre, Broadland Business Park, Peachman Way, Norwich	NR7 0WF	Policy 4 – Biodiversity and Green Corridors	-	-	Yes	-	The Council remains concerned about the suitability of paragraph five. The planning balance should take account of all material factors. As written the policy implies that proposals which deliver 10-25% BNG will be looked on favourably in the planning balance, irrespective of how it performs against other material factors. This is perhaps not what is intended; nevertheless, the Council considers that an amendment is necessary here, to ensure the policy meets the Basic Conditions. If the aim of the policy is to encourage BNG above the minimum standards, then the Council recommends that the wording is amended to state: 'Proposals should deliver at least 10% BNG. Significant weight will be given to the benefit of proposals that meaningfully exceed these minimum requirements. Applications will ...'	Y	Y
TNP-06	09/02/2024	Place Shaping Team	South Norfolk Council	The Horizon Centre, Broadland Business Park, Peachman Way, Norwich	NR7 0WF	Policy 7 – Protection of Important Local Views	-	-	Yes	-	The Council notes that the illustrative photograph for Key View 3 does not appear to match the text which states that there is an 'excellent view of the historic centre of the village'. An additional or alternative photograph would be helpful to show decision makers what view the plan is seeking to protect. The Council feels that this would help to bring the clarity and precision required by the NPPF. Also, whilst there is no objection to protecting Key View 4 (and 5), the emphasis on highlighting and seeking to conserve the contrast between the village and the city beyond seems at odds with the approach to the May Gurney site (Policy 3 – discussed above), which seeks to screen new development.	Y	Y
TNP-07	09/02/2024	Place Shaping Team	South Norfolk Council	The Horizon Centre, Broadland Business Park, Peachman Way, Norwich	NR7 0WF	Policy 12 – Traffic Volumes and Speed	-	-	Yes	-	The Council considers that requirements to mitigate any additional traffic that distributes through the village would only be justified if the residual impact of the development is expected to have a significant impact on the quantity of traffic. The Council therefore consider it necessary to amend the policy, in order to provide the necessary clarity and precision: 'Should it be shown that the traffic associated with a strategic development will have a significant impact on traffic volumes travelling through the village, then appropriate measures should be delivered to ensure highway safety, including compliance of traffic with the speed limit. Development proposals that ...'	Y	Y
TNP-08	09/02/2024	Place Shaping Team	South Norfolk Council	The Horizon Centre, Broadland Business Park, Peachman Way, Norwich	NR7 0WF	Factual Correction - Para 59	-	-	-	Yes	Para 59 states that the Whitlingham Country Park is also part of the larger Crown Point Park – which is Grade II listed. Only part of the park is in the registered area, so this is not quite correct. A map of park can be viewed here: https://historicengland.org.uk/listing/the-list/list-entry/1001480?section=official-list-entry .	Y	Y
TNP-13	14/02/2024	Ross McGivern	Historic England	Brooklands, 24 Brooklands Avenue, Cambridge	CB2 8BU	Whole Plan	-	-	-	Yes	Having reviewed the plan and relevant documentation we do not consider it necessary for Historic England to provide detailed comments at this time. We would refer you if appropriate to any previous comments submitted at Regulation 14 stage, and for any further information to our detailed advice on successfully incorporating historic environment considerations into a neighbourhood plan, which can be found here: https://historicengland.org.uk/advice/planning/plan-making/improve-your-neighbourhood/	Y	Y
TNP-14	20/02/2024	Philip Porter	National Highways	Woodlands, Manton Lane, Bedford	MK41 7LW	Whole Plan	-	-	-	Yes	It has been noted that once adopted, the Neighbourhood Plan will become a material consideration in the determination of planning applications. Where relevant, National Highways will be a statutory consultee on future planning applications within the area and will assess the impact on the SRN of a planning application accordingly. Notwithstanding the above comments, we have reviewed the document and note the details of set out within the draft document are unlikely to have an severe impact on the operation of the trunk road and we offer No Comment.	Y	Y
TNP-15	27/02/2024	Andy Scales	NPS on behalf of Norfolk Constabulary	5 Anson Road, Norwich	NR6 6ED	Whole Plan	-	-	-	Yes	NPS is commissioned by Norfolk Constabulary to prepare representations on infrastructure planning policy matters and made representations on the Regulation 14 version in January 2023. Since the Regulation 14 version of the Neighbourhood Plan was published, the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) has been updated (in December 2023). In this updated version, paragraphs 96 and 135 continues to give significant weight to promoting safe communities. 96. Planning policies and decisions should aim to achieve healthy, inclusive and safe places and beautiful buildings which: ... b) are safe and accessible, so that crime and disorder, and the fear of crime, do not undermine the quality of life or community cohesion – for example through the use of beautiful, well-designed, clear and legible pedestrian and cycle routes, and high-quality public space, which encourage the active and continual use of public areas; ... 135. Planning policies and decisions should ensure that developments: ... f) create places that are safe, inclusive and accessible and which promote health and well-being, with a high standard of amenity for existing and future users; and where crime and disorder, and the fear of crime, do not undermine the quality of life or community cohesion and resilience. In terms of creating and maintaining safer communities, several revisions were recommended to the Regulation 14 version, to ensure that it satisfactorily addresses NPPF provisions. It is welcomed that the Regulation 16 version now includes the following. • In the objectives (on page 6) to 'Create and maintain a safer community and reduce crime and disorder' • In Policy 2 (on page 19) an additional criterion that 'All new developments should conform to the 'Secured by Design' principles and the Neighbourhood Plan will support development proposals aimed at improving community safety' • Extra wording has been added (in para 74) regarding development expecting to contribute towards the improvement of local services and infrastructure including for the police. Based on these changes, Norfolk Constabulary has no further comment to offer on the Regulation 16 version of the Neighbourhood Plan.	Y	Y
TNP-16	08/03/2024	Clare Howe	Sport England			Whole Plan	-	-	-	Yes	The following is a summary: It is essential therefore that the neighbourhood plan reflects and complies with national planning policy for sport as set out in the NPPF with particular reference to Paragraphs 102 and 103. It is also important to be aware of Sport England's statutory consultee role in protecting playing fields and the presumption against the loss of playing field land. Sport England's playing fields policy is set out in our Playing Fields Policy and Guidance document. Sport England works with local authorities to ensure their Local Plan is underpinned by robust and up to date evidence. In line with Paragraph 102 of the NPPF, this takes the form of assessments of need and strategies for indoor and outdoor sports facilities. A neighbourhood planning body should look to see if the relevant local authority has prepared a playing pitch strategy or other indoor/outdoor sports facility strategy. If it has then this could provide useful evidence for the neighbourhood plan and save the neighbourhood planning body time and resources gathering their own evidence. It is important that a neighbourhood plan reflects the recommendations and actions set out in any such strategies, including those which may specifically relate to the neighbourhood area, and that any local investment opportunities are utilised to support their delivery.	Y	Y
TNP-17	12/03/2024	Julie Cullis	Norfolk Wildlife Trust	22 Thorpe Road, Norwich	NR1 1RY	Policy 2 Design	-	-	Yes	-	We are supportive of this policy and the Trowse with Newton Neighbourhood Plan Design Code, with particular reference to the environmental opportunities identified in the latter. We note the inclusion of the Future Homes Standard (DC.6.2) which is referenced within the policy text. This will be extremely important in tackling climate change. One of its aims is to 'promote' the use of new technologies such as air source heat pumps etc. However, to ensure a more robust policy regarding low carbon technology, we recommend additional stronger wording within Policy 2, for example: 'New homes should include built-in low carbon heating sources, use low carbon building materials and come equipped with low carbon technology...'	Y	Y

TNP-18	12/03/2024	Julie Cullis	Norfolk Wildlife Trust	22 Thorpe Road, Norwich	NR1 1RY	Policy 3 May Gurney Site	-	-	Yes	-	As the May Gurney site is in close proximity to 2 County Wildlife Sites it is imperative that they are protected from development. They have been designated due to their importance at a county level and provide a refuge for wildlife. We therefore particularly welcome the inclusion of the 'Green Infrastructure' policy wording. This will be important in providing a buffer between the development and Trowse Meadows CWS. To ensure that this is implemented and protects this CWS, we recommend removing the word 'preferably' from the first sentence, so that it reads: 'A new area of urban open space or village green should be introduced on the site, towards the river edge facing the County Wildlife Site.' We recommend that the supporting text to this policy makes reference to 'Carrow Abbey Marsh County Wildlife Site' just outside the NP area. Any development in close proximity to it, within the NP area, has the potential to negatively impact on this CWS. With the high level of development planned there is a very real risk from pollution from a number of sources. We therefore recommend the following additional wording, or similar: 'Due care should be taken to ensure that any associated pollution from greenhouse gases, dust, noise, litter, vibration, light, odour, waste, chemical or other sources will not negatively impact on rivers, other important habitats and areas for wildlife, including County Wildlife Sites.' We note the inclusion of the following policy wording: 'Development of the May Gurney site should reflect this principle for a model of 21st century sustainable living'. This provides an opportunity to encourage the addition of green roofs/walls, where appropriate. They provide numerous ecological benefits; increasing biodiversity, reducing run-off, improving air quality and improving thermal performance by providing shading and insulation which contributes to greater energy efficiency. We therefore recommend additional policy wording, for example: 'The addition of green roofs and/or green walls to new buildings is encouraged where this fits in with the character of the village, particularly with respect to any new community buildings'	Y	Y
TNP-19	12/03/2024	Julie Cullis	Norfolk Wildlife Trust	22 Thorpe Road, Norwich	NR1 1RY	Policy 4: Biodiversity and Green Corridors	-	-	Yes	-	Trowse NP area is a sensitive area environmentally and therefore Policy 4 will be important in helping to safeguard wildlife and habitats within the NP area, particularly with the high level of planned development. We support the identified green corridors (Figure 11) and the focus on them within this policy. These will be important in providing connectivity between important habitats, such as the County Wildlife Sites and Whittingham Country Park etc. It also provides clarity as to where opportunities exist for BNG delivery and where it can be most readily targeted. We welcome the following opportunity identified in the Design Code: The introduction of green corridors with street trees and planting along White Horse Lane and Whittingham Lane. We welcome the inclusion of the following policy wording: 'Proposals that deliver a significant BNG, of 10% to 25%, will be looked upon favourably in the planning balance.' Natural England's biodiversity net gain study (Vivid Economics, June 2018) considered the impacts on the economics and viability of development and concluded that a biodiversity net gain requirement was not expected to affect the financial viability of housing developments (up to 20% biodiversity net gain scenario); it also suggests there is a strong case for greater ambition. As buffer zones are designed to protecting sensitive landscapes and areas of high biodiversity from the impacts of development we particularly support the following opportunities highlighted within the NP Design Code (pg 18): '...introduce a landscape buffer zone to retain physical separation of the village from Norwich and promote space for wildlife and recreation.' We recommend including the following wording, or similar within the policy text as buffer zones may also be beneficial elsewhere in the NP area: 'Buffer zones should be considered and encouraged around sensitive sites, where appropriate, and where this will provide ecological benefits' We support the inclusion of policy wording on lighting as this will be important for the protection of wildlife, especially from adverse impacts from the new developments.	Y	Y
TNP-20	12/03/2024	Julie Cullis	Norfolk Wildlife Trust	22 Thorpe Road, Norwich	NR1 1RY	Community Action 1 Local Action to Encourage Wildlife	Yes	-	-	-	We fully support this community action. We are pleased and encouraged to see the importance given to the natural environment within the Neighbourhood Plan.	Y	Y
TNP-21	12/03/2024	Julie Cullis	Norfolk Wildlife Trust	22 Thorpe Road, Norwich	NR1 1RY	Policy 5 – Local Green Space	Yes	-	-	-	We fully support the 7 designated Local Green Spaces.	Y	Y
TNP-22	12/03/2024	Julie Cullis	Norfolk Wildlife Trust	22 Thorpe Road, Norwich	NR1 1RY	Policy 8 Surface Water Management	-	-	Yes	-	Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SuDS) are extremely important in reducing flood risk, reducing pollution, increasing biodiversity and when used effectively can provide habitat connectivity. We support policy 8. Particularly due to the flood risk in the area, it is imperative that all proposals should incorporate SuDS and be designed for the benefit of wildlife, as included in the policy wording. The large amount of planned development for the area could potentially lead to water pollution in the River Yare and Tas. On page 20 of the Design Code the following opportunity has been identified: 'SuDS within the development must protect the water quality of the River Yare and maximise opportunities to improve existing and valuable riparian habitat to enhance local biodiversity.' To provide greater protection for the two rivers, we recommend that this is incorporated into policy wording for 'Policy 8'.	Y	Y
TNP-23	14/03/2024	ICS Strategic Estates	NHS Norfolk & Waveney			Whole Plan	-	-	-	Yes	Thank you for consulting the Norfolk and Waveney ICS strategic estates function on the Reg 16 submission of the Trowse & Newton Neighbourhood plan. Please find our comments below. Firstly I would like to acknowledge and thank you for the inclusion of comments made by the ICS strategic estates in the Reg 14 consultation. It is clear that the East Norwich Regeneration area is going to have an impact on many aspects of the neighbourhood plan. With regards to Healthcare that is accessed outside the parameters of the neighbourhood plan line, the ENR is going to place additional demand on healthcare services which Trowse residents will currently access. We are currently exploring options to expand health infrastructure, which could serve Trowse residents as well as the new residents of the ENR developments. Sustainable public transport is important not only for the environment but also for residents who do not have access to a car to be able to easily access healthcare outside the parish boundary, furthermore enhanced cycle paths and footpaths could also provide essential transport links to healthcare services. Thank you for your continued support to ensure sustainable and accessible healthcare services in the Trowse neighbourhood plan area.	Y	Y
TNP-24	18/03/2024	Tom Wignall	Avison Young on behalf of National Grid	Central Square, Forth Street, Newcastle upon Tyne	NE1 3PJ	Whole Plan	-	-	-	Yes	The following is a summary: National Grid Electricity Transmission has appointed Avison Young to review and respond to local planning authority Development Plan Document consultations on its behalf. We are instructed by our client to submit the following representation with regard to the current consultation on the above document. An assessment has been carried out with respect to NGET assets which include high voltage electricity assets and other electricity infrastructure. NGET has identified that no assets are currently affected by proposed allocations within the Neighbourhood Plan area. Please also see attached information outlining guidance on development close to NGET infrastructure.	Y	Y
TNP-24	18/03/2024	Tom Wignall	Avison Young on behalf of National Gas Transmission	Central Square, Forth Street, Newcastle upon Tyne	NE1 3PJ	Whole Plan	-	-	-	Yes	The following is a summary: National Gas Transmission has appointed Avison Young to review and respond to Neighbourhood Plan consultations on its behalf. We are instructed by our client to submit the following representation with regard to the current consultation on the above document. An assessment has been carried out with respect to National Gas Transmission's assets which include high-pressure gas pipelines and other infrastructure. National Gas Transmission has identified that no assets are currently affected by proposed allocations within the Neighbourhood Plan area. Please also see attached information outlining guidance on development close to National Gas Transmission infrastructure.	Y	Y
TNP-25	19/03/2024	Carry Murphy	Anglian Water	Lancaster House, Lancaster Way, Ermine Busines Park, Huntingdo, Cambridgeshire	PE29 6XU	Whole Plan	-	-	-	Yes	We are generally supportive of the NP's policy aims and approaches that align with our purpose and long term strategic ambitions. Our revised draft water resources management plan (WRMP) for 2025-2050 identifies key challenges of population growth, climate change, and the need to protect sensitive environments by reducing abstraction. Managing the demand for water is therefore an important aspect of maintaining future supplies. As a region identified as seriously water stressed, we encourage plans to include measures to improve water efficiency of new development through water efficient fixtures and fittings, including through rainwater/ storm water harvesting and reuse, and greywater recycling. We welcome the amendments made in the submission version based on our earlier comments, but we do have some further points regarding specific NP policies and the Design Codes.	Y	Y
TNP-26	19/03/2024	Carry Murphy	Anglian Water	Lancaster House, Lancaster Way, Ermine Busines Park, Huntingdon, Cambridgeshire	PE29 6XU	Policy 2: Design	-	-	-	Yes	As previously stated at the Reg 14 stage, Anglian Water welcomes the approach taken in this policy to ensure that proposals will be consistent with the Design Guidance and Codes (see separate comments below), and the policy requirement encouraging high levels of sustainable design (DC1.4 and DC.6). We agree the policy will complement the proposed implementation of the Future Homes Standard. We support measures to improve energy efficiency in new and existing homes, including water efficiency measures which can reduce the amount of hot water used and consequently help reduce carbon emissions associated with water heating.	Y	Y
TNP-27	19/03/2024	Carry Murphy	Anglian Water	Lancaster House, Lancaster Way, Ermine Busines Park, Huntingdon, Cambridgeshire	PE29 6XU	Appendix B: Design Checklist from Design Guidance	-	-	Yes	-	•We wish to re-iterate that the checklist should appropriately refer to water efficiency measures in addition to energy efficiency technologies. This would reflect the Greater Norwich Local Plan (GNLP) shortly to be recommended for adoption which sets out under Policy 2 'Sustainable Communities' the importance of a high level of water efficiency. •The last bullet point under 'General Design Guidelines' for New Development is not complete, Further wording to complete the sentence which replicates that within the AECOM guidance should be inserted so that it reads "and finally incorporate renewable energy sources."	Y	Y
TNP-28	19/03/2024	Carry Murphy	Anglian Water	Lancaster House, Lancaster Way, Ermine Busines Park, Huntingdon, Cambridgeshire	PE29 6XU	Design Guidance and Codes	-	-	Yes	-	We are supportive of the design codes that have been developed to inform future development proposals within the NP areas particularly those that align with our purpose and long-term strategic ambitions - DC.1.4. Blue-green infrastructure and wildlife; DC.2.3. Parking and the use of permeable paving and DC.6 Sustainable Design - these elements are particularly important in addressing components of design that deliver benefits for future occupiers, the environment and for the operation of our assets. Figure 66 and Bullet Point 6 should reference 'highly water-efficient devices' rather than "highly waste-efficient devices". Whilst it is acknowledged this is an anomaly in the published diagram a notation/ footnote could be used to include the correct text. We would encourage water efficient fixtures and fittings in an area of serious water stress, that also help to reduce customer bills, and reduce carbon emissions in the supply and recycling of water. This would reflect the overarching GNLP policy approach as set out above.	Y	Y
TNP-29	19/03/2024	Carry Murphy	Anglian Water	Lancaster House, Lancaster Way, Ermine Busines Park, Huntingdon, Cambridgeshire	PE29 6XU	Policy 3: Dvelopment of the former May Gurney Site	Yes	-	-	-	We welcome the additional reference made to sustainable drainage systems having multi-functional benefits including open space and habitats for wildlife. This aligns with achieving Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) on the site as required under GNLP Policy 3, enhancing green infrastructure in GNLP Policy 7.1.	Y	Y
TNP-30	19/03/2024	Carry Murphy	Anglian Water	Lancaster House, Lancaster Way, Ermine Busines Park, Huntingdon, Cambridgeshire	PE29 6XU	Policy 4: Biodiversity and Green Corridors	Yes	-	-	-	As previously stated at Reg. 14 stage, we support the policy aims to enhance biodiversity and require 10% BNG, including the identification of potential offsite delivery within the parish. Anglian Water has made a corporate commitment to deliver a biodiversity net gain of 10% against the measured losses of habitats on all AW-owned land. The addition of reference within the policy to Local Nature Recovery Strategies is regarded as helpful, as these will identify priority actions for nature and map specific areas for improving habitats for nature recovery.	Y	Y

TNP-31	19/03/2024	Carry Murphy	Anglian Water	Lancaster House, Lancaster Way, Ermine Busines Park, Huntingdon, Cambridgeshire	PE29 6XU	Policy 5: Local Green Space	-	-	-	Yes	As previously stated at Reg. 14 stage, we note the areas identified as Local Green Space within the parish and consider the policy measures support any operations we would need to undertake to access our assets/network should investigations, repair or enhancement be required. For example, a sewer bisects LGS 6. Blockhill Allotments.	Y	Y
TNP-32	19/03/2024	Carry Murphy	Anglian Water	Lancaster House, Lancaster Way, Ermine Busines Park, Huntingdon, Cambridgeshire	PE29 6XU	Policy 8: Surface Water Management	-	-	Yes	-	We support the policy approach that focuses on SuDS to reduce surface water run-off and provide multi-functional benefits, particularly when utilising nature-based solutions. We agree the reference to the Design Code DC.6 should be included within the policy text to give material weight to its significance in delivering SuDS. We would still suggest a suitable reference to the drainage hierarchy (for example, under paragraph 71), so that it is clear a surface water connection to the sewer network is the last resort. For major developments requesting a surface water connection we advise developers that modelling of the network will be required to support a surface water connection and any upgrades at the developer's expense.	Y	Y
TNP-33	21/03/2024	Sally Wintle	Natural England	County Hall, Specthley Road, Worcester	WR5 2NP	Whole Plan	-	-	-	Yes	The following is a summary: Natural England does not have any specific comments on this draft neighbourhood plan. However, we refer you to the attached annex which covers the issues and opportunities that should be considered when preparing a Neighbourhood Plan and to the following information.	Y	Y
TNP-34	21/03/2024	Planning Services	Norwich City Council	City Hall, St Peters Street, Norwich	NR2 1NH	Whole Plan	-	-	-	Yes	The housing and jobs numbers from the East Norwich development have been updated throughout the GNLP examination process – references should be to 3,633 homes for East Norwich as a whole, 3362 of which are in the GNLP strategic allocation, and 4,100 jobs.	Y	Y
TNP-35	21/03/2024	Planning Services	Norwich City Council	City Hall, St Peters Street, Norwich	NR2 1NH	Whole Plan	-	-	-	Yes	Since we last commented there has been further progress with the GNLP. This has now been adopted by Norwich City Council (12th March) and is due to go to Broadland and South Norfolk Council's for adoption later this month. This now means that any references to the JCS policies will shortly become out of date so would advise amendments are made to change these references to reflect the adopted local plan to ensure longevity of the neighbourhood plan. The neighbourhood planning group, in response to our previous comments on the draft plan outlined that the emerging GNLP policies, and the draft East Norwich SPD have no status and weight in planning terms. This is not the case. At the time the Reg14 NP was consulted on, the GNLP was at an advanced stage in its public examination process so would have had significant weight at that point. In addition, the East Norwich SPD has been endorsed by Norwich City Council at Cabinet 8 June 2022, which notes that the SPD is a material planning consideration for relevant planning matters in view of the work, consultation and engagement that had taken place at that time. The GNLP now has full weight as an adopted development plan.	Y	Y
TNP-36	21/03/2024	Planning Services	Norwich City Council	City Hall, St Peters Street, Norwich	NR2 1NH	Paragraph 22	-	-	-	Yes	Would advise that this sentence should be referring to one or the other as it isn't clear how development can re-balance the proportion of smaller homes whilst at the same time maintaining the same proportion of larger homes.	Y	Y
TNP-37	21/03/2024	Planning Services	Norwich City Council	City Hall, St Peters Street, Norwich	NR2 1NH	Paragraph 29	-	-	-	Yes	This section should also be referring to the design policies in the GNLP/EN draft SPD. See above comments regarding status.	Y	Y
TNP-38	21/03/2024	Planning Services	Norwich City Council	City Hall, St Peters Street, Norwich	NR2 1NH	Policy 1	-	-	Yes	-	Suggestion that the policy is amended requiring the mix of homes proposed in new development to take account of the latest available evidence on housing need (both strategic and local).	Y	Y
TNP-39	21/03/2024	Planning Services	Norwich City Council	City Hall, St Peters Street, Norwich	NR2 1NH	Policy 2	-	-	Yes	-	Policy 2 outlines that building heights should pay attention to Design Code DC.4 and predominantly be two storeys or lower, but some areas of upper Trowse could be suitable for taller development as long as this does not exceed three storeys. Policy 3 outlines that building heights should range up to 4 storeys, which causes some confusion. In addition, the draft East Norwich SPD (2022) outlines that the May Gurney site is appropriate for up to 4 storey development (see comments above regarding status of this document). The wording of this policy should be amended to ensure consistency between policies, but also to ensure it aligns with draft EN SPD.	Y	Y
TNP-40	21/03/2024	Planning Services	Norwich City Council	City Hall, St Peters Street, Norwich	NR2 1NH	Paragraph 49	-	-	-	Yes	The assumption that the draft EN SPD has no status is incorrect as set out above.	Y	Y
TNP-41	21/03/2024	Planning Services	Norwich City Council	City Hall, St Peters Street, Norwich	NR2 1NH	Paragraphs 53 &55 and Policy 3	-	-	Yes	-	These paras refer to building heights that ideally taper down from taller apartments in the middle of the site with buildings becoming lower towards the meadows. The draft EN SPD proposes apartments along part of SE edge so this is not necessarily in conformity with the strategic policy context. See comments above regarding weight and status of the draft SPD. In addition, this does not appear to be in conformity with the existing outline permission for the site. The policy also needs to accord with Policy STR.01 and 7.1 from the GNLP as the adopted strategic policy context. Rather than outlining where development of different height should be located at this stage, would suggest that the wording is amended to the need for building height and location being determined and informed by heritage and landscape impact assessments at the planning application stage – this is something that is also reflected in the draft EN SPD.	Y	Y
TNP-42	21/03/2024	Planning Services	Norwich City Council	City Hall, St Peters Street, Norwich	NR2 1NH	Paragraph 64	-	-	-	Yes	Has the incorrect dates for BNG becoming mandatory. This should be 12 February 2024 for major developments and 1 April 2024 for minor developments. The final sentence of this para is a bit confusing – the reference to meeting a particular level of BNG not being mandatory - do you mean the NPPF biodiversity gains are not currently numerically defined, or is this old information left in from a previous version before BNG became mandatory? Suggest reword needed for clarity.	Y	Y
TNP-43	21/03/2024	Planning Services	Norwich City Council	City Hall, St Peters Street, Norwich	NR2 1NH	Section 6.5	-	-	-	Yes	Flood risk maps are really difficult to see at this scale so would benefit from providing zoomed in sections.	Y	Y
TNP-44	21/03/2024	Planning Services	Norwich City Council	City Hall, St Peters Street, Norwich	NR2 1NH	Note for remaining comments from NCC	-	-	-	Yes	Paragraph numbers seem to have been confused so comments referred to below are in relation to the para numbers on page 29 onwards.	Y	Y
TNP-45	21/03/2024	Planning Services	Norwich City Council	City Hall, St Peters Street, Norwich	NR2 1NH	Paragraph 51 and Policy 4	-	-	Yes	-	The Planning Practice Guidance (Paragraph: 006 Reference ID: 74-006-20240214) has been updated to advise plan-makers that they should not seek a higher percentage of BNG from developers than the statutory 10% objective unless justified. Justification will need to include evidence for a local need for a higher percentage, local opportunities for a higher percentage and any impacts on viability for development. At present, not all of this evidence appears to be present to justify a higher percentage of BNG in the NP. In addition, the NP also sets out that BNG will be required on all developments. The same PPG para sets out that it will be inappropriate for plans to include policies or guidance which are incompatible with the statutory framework for BNG, for example by applying BNG to exempt categories of development. As such, policy 4 cannot require BNG on categories of development which are exempt from statutory BNG.	Y	Y
TNP-46	21/03/2024	Planning Services	Norwich City Council	City Hall, St Peters Street, Norwich	NR2 1NH	Policy 4	-	-	Yes	-	This policy would also benefit from some clarification as to whether it refers to only statutory BNG, or if it is referring to biodiversity enhancements more widely, and which requirements would apply in which circumstance. As above, some wording changes are likely required to take account of the PPG updates.	Y	Y
TNP-47	21/03/2024	Planning Services	Norwich City Council	City Hall, St Peters Street, Norwich	NR2 1NH	Policy 5	-	Yes	-	-	The NP is proposing to designate Trowse Meadows as Local Green Space. This area is already designated as a County Wildlife Site, and is functional flood plain. We are concerned that the designation of this area as Local Green Space may undermine the delivery of the May Gurney site, in particular the element of the policy that requires land adjacent to LGS to set out how any impacts on the special qualities of that space will be mitigated. The MG site is allocated in the adopted GNLP under policy STR.01. Policies in neighbourhood plans should be in conformity with adopted strategic plan and not restrict their implementation. See PPG which outlines that designation of LGS should be consistent with local planning for sustainable development and not be used in a way that undermines the aim of plan making. (Paragraph: 007 Reference ID: 37-007-20140306).	Y	Y
TNP-48	21/03/2024	Natalie Beal	Broads Authority	Yare House, 62-64 Thorpe Road, Norwich	NR1 1RY	General Comments	-	-	-	Yes	All images need to have alt text please. Para 20, 73 – this is based on 2011 Census. The 2021 data is released. Numbering of policy paras is useful for reference. You might want to do that when finalising the plan. Para 64 re BNG needs an update – dates out.	Y	Y
TNP-49	21/03/2024	Natalie Beal	Broads Authority	Yare House, 62-64 Thorpe Road, Norwich	NR1 1RY	Policy 13 – Residential parking standards	-	-	-	Yes	how does the first para of this policy relate to the Broads given that earlier the plan says that the design guide does not apply to the Broads?	Y	Y
TNP-50	22/03/2024	Alasdair Hain-Cole	Environment Agency	Iceni House, Cobham Road, Ipswich, Suffolk	IP3 9JD	Whole Plan	-	-	-	Yes	The following is a summary; We regret that at present, we are unable to review this consultation. We have had to prioritise our limited resource, and must focus on influencing plans where the environmental risks and opportunities are highest. For the purposes of neighbourhood planning, we have assessed those authorities who have “up to date” local plans (plans adopted within the previous 5 years) as being of lower risk, and those authorities who have older plans (adopted more than 5 years ago) as being at greater risk. We aim to reduce flood risk and protect and enhance the water environment, and with consideration to the key environmental constraints within our remit, we have then tailored our approach to reviewing each neighbourhood plan accordingly. We note the Broads Authority Local Plan was adopted in 2019 and is currently under review, while Trowse with Newton will also be covered by the emerging Greater Norwich Local Plan. We also note that the submitted plan is not proposing to allocate any additional sites to those already allocated in the current and emerging local plans, while there are no important environmental constraints affecting this Neighbourhood Plan area that fall within our current screening matrix. At this time, therefore, we are unable to make any detailed input on neighbourhood plans being prepared within this local planning authority area. Please see the full response for details of guidance that the Environment Agency have produced for neighbourhood planning.	Y	Y
TNP-51	22/03/2024	Caroline Jeffery	Norfolk County Council Minerals and Waste	County Hall, Martineau Lane, Norwich	NR1 2DH	Whole Plan	-	-	-	Yes	Norfolk County Council as the Minerals and Waste Planning Authority has no objections to the Trowse Neighbourhood Plan (Reg 16): General comments about the Neighbourhood Plan: • There are no existing allocated minerals or waste sites within the Trowse NP area in the adopted Norfolk Minerals and Waste Development Framework (NM&WDF) documents or in the emerging Norfolk Minerals and Waste Local Plan (NM&WLP). • There are no safeguarded minerals or waste sites within the Trowse NP area in the adopted NM&WDF documents or in the emerging NM&WLP.	Y	Y
TNP-52	22/03/2024	Caroline Jeffery	Norfolk County Council Minerals and Waste	County Hall, Martineau Lane, Norwich	NR1 2DH	Paragraph 57	-	-	-	Yes	• In our previous Regulation 14 response, we advised that the local green space of (6) Blockhill Allotments is partially underlain by sand and gravel resource. Since the allocation is for Local Green Space, it does not sterilise the mineral resource underlain, unless any non-mineral development were to take place. We are pleased to note that paragraph 57 of the Neighbourhood Plan now states in the supporting text: ‘It should be noted that Blockhill allotments is underlain by sand and gravel resource, should an appropriate application be submitted for built development on this Local Green Space, Policy CS16 of the Minerals and Waste Local Development Framework will apply.’	Y	Y

TNP-53	22/03/2024	Steve Hickling	Norfolk County Council, Historic Environment Strategy and Advice Team	County Hall, Martineau Lane, Norwich	NR1 2SG	Policy 14 – Non-Designated Heritage Assets	-	-	Yes	-	Although standing buildings are well covered, buried archaeological remains are barely mentioned in the draft NP. This is unfortunate as there are some significant buried remains, some uncovered and recorded as a result of recent development in the parish. We would like mention to be made of some of these sites, details of which the authors will have read about when looking at the HER. In addition we would like mention made of our role in advising the local planning authority over the historic environment impacts of planning applications and in making sure that conditioned archaeological mitigation takes place to high standard.	Y	Y
TNP-54	22/03/2024	Naomi Chamberlain	Norfolk County Council, Natural Environment Team	County Hall, Martineau Lane, Norwich	NR1 2DH	Vision & Objectives	Yes	-	-	-	The vision and objectives are supported. Particularly: b) Safeguard the peacefulness, open spaces, views, and rural feel of the parish. c) Protect and celebrate the rich wildlife and landscape, particularly of the Broads.	Y	Y
TNP-55	22/03/2024	Naomi Chamberlain	Norfolk County Council, Natural Environment Team	County Hall, Martineau Lane, Norwich	NR1 2DH	Policy 3	Yes	-	-	-	Policy 3: Green Infrastructure is supported particularly the benefit this could have to protecting the County Wildlife Site as part of the development of the May Gurney site.	Y	Y
TNP-56	22/03/2024	Naomi Chamberlain	Norfolk County Council, Natural Environment Team	County Hall, Martineau Lane, Norwich	NR1 2DH	Section 6.5	Yes	-	-	-	Section 6 gives a clear direction to protecting and enhancing biodiversity. It also provides a robust evidence base to support this work.	Y	Y
TNP-57	22/03/2024	Naomi Chamberlain	Norfolk County Council, Natural Environment Team	County Hall, Martineau Lane, Norwich	NR1 2DH	Policy 4	-	-	Yes	-	Policy 4: Biodiversity and Green Corridors is supported in giving a clear framework for delivering the direction outlined in section 6. It is particularly positive to see the the aspiration to deliver above the minimum 10% BNG. Please note the date for implementation of BNG needs updating to recognise that Major schemes are required to deliver this from February 2024. It is also recommended that the Parish Council engages in the development of the emerging Norfolk Local Nature Recovery Strategy (LNRS), as the Green Infrastructure (GI) mapping work already prepared in this Neighbourhood Plan is likely to complement the county-wide strategy.	Y	Y
TNP-58	22/03/2024	Naomi Chamberlain	Norfolk County Council, Natural Environment Team	County Hall, Martineau Lane, Norwich	NR1 2DH	Policy 11 – Sustainable Transport	Yes	-	-	-	Policy 11 is supported. Walking and Cycling Improvements are encouraging to see and Norfolk County Council Public Rights of Way officers and Cycling and Walking Officers look forward to working with the villages in the future to best achieve this. In particular with: Community Action 4: Footpaths The Parish Council and community will work with local landowners and Norfolk County Council to expand the Public Rights of Way network for recreational walking.	Y	Y
TNP-59	22/03/2024	Naomi Chamberlain	Norfolk County Council, Lead Local Flood Authority	County Hall, Martineau Lane, Norwich	NR1 2DH	Whole Plan	-	-	-	Yes	The following is a summary: The LLFA welcomes that the Trowse with Newton Neighbourhood Plan Regulation 16 Document and its proposed policies retain references to flooding from various sources such as surface water, groundwater and fluvial. Notwithstanding the above, the LLFA recommends a full review of flooding for the Parish of Trowse with Newton should still be carried out, assessing all forms of flood risk in the area and be supported by relevant mapping. The LLFA welcomes many of the references within the document, including implications of flooding on and off site, use of SuDS and the vital role green corridors can play. The LLFA welcomes the retention of community action 2 for the parish council to work with riparian owners, landowners and statutory agencies on maintenance of water courses. The LLFA also supports the references made to strategic policies within relevant local plan documents. According to LLFA datasets (extending from 2011 to present day) we have 1 no. records of internal flooding, along with no records of external/anecdotal flooding in the Parish of Trowse with Newton. The LLFA highlights the importance of considering surface water, groundwater and flooding from 4 ordinary watercourses within the Neighbourhood Plan in the best interest of further development in the area. We note that all external flood events are deemed anecdotal and have not been subject to an investigation by the LLFA. According to Environment Agency datasets, there are areas of localised surface water flooding (ponding) and surface water flowpaths present within the Parish of Trowse with Newton.	Y	Y
TNP-60	22/03/2024	Naomi Chamberlain	Norfolk County Council, Lead Local Flood Authority	County Hall, Martineau Lane, Norwich	NR1 2DH	Local Green Space	-	-	-	Yes	The document proposes 7 no. Local Green Spaces which are identified in Policy 5: Local Green Spaces and Figure 6. It is understood that designation of LGSs provides a level of protection against development. The LLFA do not normally comment in LGSs unless they are/are proposed to be part of a SuDS or contribute to current surface water management/land drainage. If it is believed that a designated LGS forms part of a SuDS or contributes to current surface water management/land drainage, this should be appropriately evidenced within the submitted Neighbourhood Plan. The LLFA have no comments to make on the proposed LGSs in the plan.	Y	Y