		Name	Organisation	Address	Postcode	Section	Support	Oppose	Supp w. mods	Comments	Reasons
TNP-01	23/10/2023	Philip Porter	National Highways	Woodlands, Manton Lane, Bedford	MK41 7LW	Whole Plan				Yes	National Highways is a strategic highway company under the provisions of the Infrastructure Act 2015 and is th (SRN).
											It has been noted that once adopted, the Neighbourhood Plan will become a material consideration in the dete consultee on future planning applications within the area and will assess the impact on the SRN of a planning a
											Notwithstanding the above comments, we have reviewed the document and note the details of set out within road and we offer No Comment.
TNP-02	24/10/2023	Planning Team	Sport England	Sport Park, 3 Oakwood Drive, Loughborough, Leicester	LE11 3QF	Whole Plan				Yes	Please note this is a summary: Government planning policy, within the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), identifies how the plannin inclusive communities. Encouraging communities to become more physically active through walking, cycling, in enough sports facilities of the right quality and type in the right places is vital to achieving this aim. This means along with an integrated approach to providing new housing and employment land with community facilities is Therefore, it is essential that the neighbourhood plan reflects and complies with national planning policy for sp be aware of Sport England's statutory consultee role in protecting playing fields and the presumption against the
											Fields Policy and Guidance document. https://www.sportengland.org/how-we-can-help/facilities-and-planning/planning-for-sport#playing_fields_pol Sport England provides guidance on developing planning policy for sport and further information can be found evidence base on which it is founded.
											evidence base on which it is rounded. https://www.sportengland.org/how-we-can-help/facilities-and-planning/planning-for-sport#planning_applicati
TNP-03 2	26/10/2023	Steve Hickling	Norfolk Historic Environment Officer	Archive Centre, County Hall, Martineau Lane, Norwich	NR1 2SG	Section 8 Heritage Policy				Yes	It is welcomed that the plan now contains a statement about our role (para 8.10). However, we must stress that unknown buried archaeological remains (para 8.10, 8.11 Appendix D and fig.29). We would advise that the auth historic assets within the parish.
TNP-04	11/11/2023	Janice & Steven Beckett	Resident	not supplied	not supplied	Whole Plan				Yes	I have read the draft neighbourhood plan and wish to commend the authors on a well written and comprehens I welcome the policies in general, especially the provision for some business opportunities at the village hall. I r been the case for several years. My concern is whether the site will be developed to maximise the developed's The situation in Tasburgh has been partly covered in the plan. It is a popular village, with a good community sp school and we were among other couples with similar backgrounds and interests, moving into the new houses games sponsored by South Norfolk Council, the extension to the village hall completed in 1995, and so on. Mar Almost all of the children from that 1980s generation have left the village. There are many reasons for that, but certainly one was the absence of affordable, modest houses suitable for a looking to downsize but there are precious few options for modest dwellings or bungalows in Tasburgh. Instead dwellings totally out of reach of local people. That site would have been much better suited to more dwellings might have appealed to local residents. My plea is that the Church Road site should be a priority for one and two bedroom dwellings that would help se executive three and four bed houses that I suspect the developer would wish. Clearly lines of terraces are not a I don't know how you could control that aspiration: I suspect that detail would not be possible. We will wait to
TNP-05	17/11/2023	Ross McGiven	Historic England	Brooklands, 24 Brooklands Avenue, Cambridge	CB2 8BU	Whole Plan				Yes	Having reviewed the plan and relevant documentation we do not consider it necessary for Historic England to p comments submitted at Regulation 14 stage, and for any further information to our detailed advice on success can be found here: https://historicengland.org.uk/advice/planning/plan-making/improve-your-neighbourhood,
TNP-06	27/11/2023	Sally Wintle	Natural England	Hornbeam House, Crewe Business Park, Electra Way, Crewe, Cheshire	CW1 6GJ	Whole Plan				Yes	The following is a summary: Natural England is a statutory consultee in neighbourhood planning and must be consulted on draft neighbourh they consider our interests would be affected by the proposals made. Natural England does not have any specific comments on this draft neighbourhood plan. However, we refer you to the attached annex which covers the issues and opportunities that should be conside
TNP-07	28/11/2023	Andy Scales	NPS Property Consultants	5 Anson Road, Norwich	NR6 6ED	Whole Plan			Yes		The following is a summary: In terms of creating and maintaining safer communities, it is requested that the following revision be made in t addresses NPPF provisions in the Neighbourhood Plan area. • The Neighbourhood Plan identifies the importance that resident have identified on maintaining a safe and se the Plan's Vision. Therefore, the Plan should take the opportunity to include within its objectives (on page 24) t consistent with NPPF advice (along with the view of parish residents), and it is disappointing that this considera • The Neighbourhood Plan highlights within its provisions the importance of good design. It is therefore surpris disorder issues and fails to offer support for the well-established principles of crime prevention through good d environment plays an important role in designing out crime, reducing the opportunities for and risk of anti-soci Neighbourhood Plan policy should include a provision that 'All new developments should conform to the 'Secu aimed at improving community safety'. This would be supported by an objective to 'create and maintain a safe • The Neighbourhood Plan currently fails to recognise that police infrastructure will play an important role to s considered that this omission should be addressed in the Neighbourhood Plan with police services referenced i I trust that these matters can be incorporated into the Plan objectives, policies, and provisions to support / mair reduce the fear of crime in the Neighbourhood Plan area) to ensure that the Plan is consistent with the emphasi- reduce the fear of crime in the Neighbourhood Plan area) to ensure that the Plan is consistent with the emphasi- reduce the fear of crime in the Neighbourhood Plan area) to ensure that the Plan is consistent with the emphasi- reduce the fear of crime in the Neighbourhood Plan area) to ensure that the Plan is consistent with the emphasi- reduce the fear of crime in the Neighbourhood Plan area) to ensure that the Plan is consistent with the emphasi- reduce the fear of crime i
TNP-08	28/11/2023	Julie Cullis	Norfolk Wildlife Trust	Bewick House, 22 Thorpe Road, Norwich	NR1 1RY	TAS1 Natural Assets			Yes		The NP area supports a number of priority habitats and species and lies partly in an Environmentally Sensitive <i>J</i> Plantation CWS and Tasburgh Redpoll Meadow CWS. Policy TAS1 will be important in providing protection and We support Policy TAS1. However, we would recommend additional wording (or similar wording) as described To ensure that any development within the NP area minimises adverse impacts on the neighbouring County W 'The creation of buffer zones, as appropriate, to protect sensitive wildlife habitats such as ponds, woodland, we such as light pollution. Developments to include green roofs where appropriate. Any new community buildings to include a green roof To minimise adverse impacts from pollution on these natural assets we would also recommend including simila 'Development proposals should demonstrate that due care has been taken to ensure that any associated pollu other sources will not have a significant negative impact on the natural environment or the community. Cumul We also recommend that a map showing all the green infrastructure in and adjacent to the NP area, including is in his will make it more effective in targeting where the best gains can be made regarding biodiversity net gain a
TNP-09	28/11/2023	Julie Cullis	Norfolk Wildlife Trust	Bewick House, 22 Thorpe Road,	NR1 1RY	TAS2 Local Green Spaces	Yes				This will make it more effective in targeting where the best gains can be made regarding biodiversity net gain a We fully support Policy TAS2 and welcome the 6 designated Local Green Spaces.

s the highway authority, traffic authority and street authority for the Strategic Road Network

determination of planning applications. Where relevant, National Highways will be a statutory ng application accordingly.

hin the draft document are unlikely to have an severe impact on the operation of the trunk

nning system can play an important role in facilitating social interaction and creating healthy, g, informal recreation and formal sport plays an important part in this process. Providing rans that positive planning for sport, protection from the unnecessary loss of sports facilities, es is important.

or sport as set out in the NPPF with particular reference to Pars 98 and 99. It is also important to ist the loss of playing field land. Sport England's playing fields policy is set out in our Playing

policy

and via the link below. Vital to the development and implementation of planning policy is the

ications

that Non Designated Heritage Assets are not just buildings, but also include known and authors contacting the Norfolk Historic Environment Record for information about all known

nensive publication that reflects all what is good about this village.

II. I note that the site off Church Road is the only one identified for development, which has er's profits, or for the best interests of the village.

y spirit. When we came here some 40 years ago, we were a young family attracted by the new uses on the sites either side of Church Road. We all took part in the village: the inter village Many of those people are still here; very few people leave this village... except the young.

for a young couple, or single people. Now, that 1980s generation are retired and some are tead we see recent new dwellings, opposite Flordon Road, for example, being large detached ings along the lines of the modest cottages that can be seen along the Low Road, which then

Ip solve the housing problem in Tasburgh, both for young and elderly residents, not for not a character of the village so the design and layout would be a challenge. t to see what happens.

to provide detailed comments at this time. We would refer you if appropriate to any previous sessfully incorporating historic environment considerations into a neighbourhood plan, which bod/

ourhood development plans by the Parish/Town Councils or Neighbourhood Forums where

sidered when preparing a Neighbourhood Plan and to the following information

in the Regulation 16 version of the Neighbourhood Plan to ensure that it satisfactorily

d secure community (as highlights on page 23 and reflected in

24) to 'create and maintain a safer community and reduce crime and disorder'. This would be deration is currently excluded.

prising that within it Development and Design Policies section, the Plan is silent on crime and od design and the 'Secured by Design' approach (as the design and layout of the built

social behaviour along with allaying residents fear of crime and disorder). It is considered that ecured by Design' principles and the Neighbourhood Plan will support development proposals safer community and reduce crime and disorder'.

to support development and meet the needs of residents and enhance community safety. It is sed in the Community Infrastructure section.

maintain a safe community and reduce the opportunities for crime and disorder (and help phasis that Government places on creating safer communities in NPPF advice.

ive Area. There are also 2 County Wildlife Sites immediately adjacent to the NP area; Pecks and enhancement for these important habitats and species.

bed below.

y Wildlife Sites:

, wetlands and the adjacent County Wildlife Sites from any indirect impacts from development,

n roof and green wall wherever possible.

milar wording to the following:

ollution from greenhouse gases, dust, noise, litter, vibration, light, odour, waste, chemical or mulative impacts should also be taken into account.'

ing any identified green corridors and the adjacent County Wildlife Sites, is included in the plan. in and delivery of additional green infrastructure.

TNP-10	28/11/2023	Julie Cullis	Norfolk Wildlife Trust	Bewick House, 22 Thorpe Road, Norwich	NR1 1RY	TAS4 Climate Change			Yes		We note the high risk of flooding in some parts of the NP area. Redpoll Meadow County Wildlife Site (adjacent adjacent to this could potentially lead to adverse effects on this site. SuDS will be crucial in helping to minimise the flood risk with its associated adverse impacts, including water po can create a high quality, green setting for new homes. As water quality impacts on nearby wildlife sites is cum incorporated, proportionally, into all new developments, for example:
											'All proposals should incorporate Sustainable Drainage Systems appropriate to the scale and nature of the dever sites. They should be designed to be an integral part of the green infrastructure and designed to benefit wildlife of permeable driveways or parking areas; Rainwater harvesting and storage features; Green roofs.'
TNP-11	28/11/2023	Julie Cullis	Norfolk Wildlife Trust	Bewick House, 22 Thorpe Road, Norwich	NR1 1RY	TASS Dark Skies			Yes		We note that there are at least seven species of bat using the river valley, some of which are priority species. T overall negative impact on bats and other wildlife, and species are affected in many different and numerous we habitat and commuting routes are protected from artificial lighting. Specific reasons for this are given in the be We are pleased that dark skies are valued in Tasburgh and note that residents are used to not having street ligf should not have streetlights. However, to maximise the protection of bats and other wildlife we would suggest 'Development proposals should demonstrate compliance with best practice guidance for avoiding artificial light lighting/). Where lighting cannot be avoided altogether in proposals then it must be designed to avoid light spil species.'
TNP-12		Alasdair Hain-Cole	Environment Agency	Iceni House, Cobham Road, Ipswich, Suffolk,		Whole Plan				Yes	The following is a summary: Thank you for consulting us on the Draft Neighbourhood Plan for Tasburgh. We have had to prioritise our limite opportunities are highest. We note the South Norfolk Local Plan was last reviewed and adopted in 2011. We have also identified importan plans, that affect this Neighbourhood Plan Area. We are, therefore, providing you with the following advice wh environmental Objectives considered. The Draft Neighbourhood Plan includes areas which are located in Flood Zone 2 and 3. In accordance with the I Sequential Test and Exception Tests should be undertaken if the plan is proposing development or promoting g change into account. The application of the Sequential Test should be informed by the Local Planning Authority Being in one of the driest areas of the country, our environment has come under significant pressure from potar reducing water demand and mitigate against the risk of deterioration to our rivers, groundwater and habitats f supplies with the water company, in line with the emerging 2024 Water Resources Management Plan which is Plan may indicate constraints in water supply and provide recommendations for phasing of development to tie Your plan includes areas which are located on Principal Aquifers and in Source Protection Zone 3. These should of the designation and the potential implication upon development proposals should be considered with referent https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/groundwater-protection. We encourage you to seek ways in which your neighbourhood plan can improve the local environment. For you we have published joint guidance on neighbourhood planning, which sets out sources of environmental informa- consider the environment in Neighbourhood plans - Locality Neighbourhood Planning.
TNP-13	29/11/2023	Tessa Saunders	Anglian Water	Lancaster House, Lancaster Way, Ermine Business Park, Huntingdon, Cambridgeshire,	PE29 6XU	TAS4 Climate Change			Yes		Thank you for consulting Anglian Water on the Tasburgh Neighbourhood Plan Reg 16 submission. Anglian Wate and we welcome the consideration of our comments and subsequent amendments to the neighbourhood plan Additional Commentary: TAS4 Climate Change, flood risk and surface water drainage issues: Whilst we welcome the amended policy and 'large development' and whether this only has regard to major developments as defined by the Town & Countr intention to implement Schedule 3 of The Flood and Water Management Act 2010 to make SuDS mandatory in reflected these aims. Paragraph 6.29: We note the matter that has been raised by a local resident regarding sewer flooding in Florda updates will be sent through to the Parish Council to help inform and update as necessary this statement in the Fritton Road water recycling catchment identifies that for the networks in this catchment there will be mixed s' removal.
TNP-14	29/11/2023	Joe Wyatt	Norfolk County Council - Natural Environment	County Hall, Martineau Lane, Norwich	NR1 2DH	TAS1 Natural Assets			Yes		We are pleased to note that 'important natural assets' have been identified. The 'enhancing biodiversity' section natural asset' paragraph is rephrased; features such as veteran trees and ancient woodland are considered to a damaged and simply mitigated by replacement planting. Figure 16 (Natural Assets in Tasburgh) provides a useful visualisation of the key environmental features of the F
TNP-15	29/11/2023	Joe Wyatt	Norfolk County Council - Natural Environment	County Hall, Martineau Lane,	NR1 2DH	TASS Dark Skies	Yes				forthcoming Norfolk Local Nature Recovery Strategy (LNRS) as the identification of these locally important feat This policy is supported from an ecological perspective.
TNP-16	29/11/2023	Joe Wyatt	Norfolk County Council - Lead Local Flood Authority	Norwich County Hall, Martineau Lane, Norwich	NR1 2DH	TAS4 Climate Change					The following is a summary: The LLFA welcome that references are retained in the Draft Neighbourhood Plan and its proposed policies to fluthe need to protect the environment and consider the impacts of climate change. It is noted that reference has 14 no. proposed policies and Community Actions Projects, Policy TAS2: Local Green Spaces and Policy TAS4: Cli within Section 6 along with Objective 1, Figure 20 and Figure 21 and the Tasburgh Design Guidance and Codes of The LLFA further welcomes references retained within Policy TAS4 of the Regulation 16 document to the need to in respect of flood risk management, drainage and flooding matters. However, no reference has made in the Board, with no mapping included to identify which part of the Parish this relates to. It is welcomed that the Regulation 16 document retains reference to the majority of the Parish being at low risk water flooding has occurred in the past as recognised in NCC Investigation Report into the Countywide Flooding areas lie within Flood Zone 3 and as such the LLFA consider that this section would benefit from the inclusion o According to LLFA datasets (extending from 2011 to present day), we have 1. no record of internal flooding and the importance of considering surface water, groundwater and flooding from ordinary watercourses within the that all external flood events are deemed anecdotal and have not been subject to an investigation by the LLFA.
TNP-17	29/11/2023	Joe Wyatt	Norfolk County Council - Lead Local Flood Authority	County Hall, Martineau Lane, Norwich	NR1 2DH	Allocation of Sites				Yes	We would expect that the Neighbourhood Planning Process provide a robust assessment of the risk of flooding undertaken in respect of any site allocations (however it is noted that as was the case in the Regulation 14 Doc TAS1: North of Church Road within the Emerging South Norfolk Village Clusters Housing Allocations Plan as incl flooding is identified then a sequential test, and exception test where required, should be undertaken. This wou the lowest areas of flood risk. However, any allocated sites will also still be required to provide a flood risk asse process.
TNP-18	29/11/2023	Joe Wyatt	Norfolk County Council - Lead Local Flood Authority	County Hall, Martineau Lane, Norwich	NR1 2DH	TAS2 Local Green Space		<u> </u>		Yes	process. The Regulation 16 document proposes 6 no. new Local Green Spaces (as opposed to 7 in the Regulation 14 Ver- designation of LGSs provides a level of protection against development. The LLFA do not normally comment on water management/land drainage. If it is believed that a designated LGS forms part of a SuDS or contributes to within the submitted Neighbourhood Plan. The LLFA have no comments to make on the proposed LGSs in the p
TNP-19	29/11/2023	Joe Wyatt	Norfolk County Council - Minerals and Waste	County Hall, Martineau Lane,	NR1 2DH	TAS11: Historic Core and Non-designated Heritage Assets			Yes		It is welcomed that the plan now contains a statement about our role (para 8.10). However, we must stress that unknown buried archaeological remains (para 8.11, Appendix D and fig.29). We would advise that the authors?

cent to the NP boundary) is also in a high risk area for flooding, therefore any development

er pollution downstream of development. In addition, SuDS and trees incorporated into streets cumulative, we therefore recommend that policy wording should recommend SuDS should be

levelopment to ensure they do not add to cumulative water quality impacts on nearby wildlife dlife unless otherwise justified. These may include: Attenuation ponds; Planting; Introduction

s. The area is also rich in other wildlife species including priority species. Light pollution has an s ways. It is imperative for the protection of wildlife that each of these: wildlife roosts, foraging e best practice guidance as indicated below.

: lighting. We support the policy on Dark Skies and particularly that any new development gest further specific wording at the end of the 2nd paragraph:

lighting impacts on bats (https://theilp.org.uk/publication/guidance-note-8-bats-and-artificialspill onto wildlife roosts, foraging habitat, and commuting routes for bats, birds, and other

mited resources and must focus on influencing plans where the environmental risks and

ortant environmental constraints, within our matrix for currently screening neighbourhood which identifies opportunities for you to strengthen the Plan and enhance the scope of

he National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) paras 159-165, we remind you that the ng growth to ensure development is directed to the areas of lowest flood risk taking climate prity's Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA).

potable water demand. New developments should make a significant contribution towards its from groundwater abstraction. We recommend you check the capacity of available water h is due to be published in 2023. The Local Planning Authorities Water Cycle Study and Local o tie in with new alternative strategic supplies.

buld be considered within your plan if growth or development is proposed here. The relevance ference to our Groundwater Protection guidance:

your information, together with Natural England, Historic England and Forestry Commission, prmation and ideas on incorporating the environment into plans. This is available at: How to

Vater has engaged with the Parish Council at the Reg 14 draft Neighbourhood Plan consultation, plan to reflect our consultation response with regard to Policy TAS4.

r and inclusion of sustainable drainage systems (SuDS). We would seek clarification regarding untry Planning Development Management Procedure Order (2015). As it is the Government's γ in all new developments in England in 2024, we would support a policy approach which

ordan Road during periods of heavy rain. This will be raised with our operational teams and any the supporting text. Our Drainage and Wastewater Management Plan 2025-2050 for Hempnalled strategies, with the main solution being SuDS, and in the longer term 50% surface water

ection is also supported from an ecological perspective. However, it is advised that the "loss of to be 'irreplaceable habitats' and as such it is not appropriate for these features to be lost or

he Parish; it is recommended that the Parish Council engages in the development of the features could form a valuable aspect of the county-wide strategy.

to flooding from sources such as surface water and rivers (fluvial from the River TAS), as well as has now been made to groundwater flooding within Paragraph 6.31 of the document. Of the : Climate Change, Flood Risk and Surface Water Drainage Issues and their supporting text des document, are of most relevance to matters for consideration by the LLFA.

eed for guidance by relevant Agencies such as the LLFA and Environment Agency to be adhered n the document to the relevant IDB, in this case being the Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage

risk of surface water flooding (apart from identified areas such as Low Road where surface ding of Summer 2021). However, as previously noted in our Regulation 14 response, some on of Environment Agency mapping to clearly identify such areas. and 1 no. record of external/anecdotal flooding in the Parish of Tasburgh. The LLFA highlight

the Neighbourhood Plan in the best interest of further development in the area. Please note FA.

Jing, from all sources, when allocating sites. It is not evident to the LLFA that this has been Document, no housing is being allocated, only additional policy text to proposed Policy VC included Policy TAS9: Site North of Church Road of the Neighbourhood Plan). If a risk of would be in line with Planning Practice Guidance to ensure that new development is steered to assessment and / or drainage strategy through the development management planning

Version) within Policy TAS2: Local Green Spaces of the document. It is understood that t on LGSs unless they are/are proposed to be part of a SuDS or contribute to current surface s to current surface water management/land drainage, this should be appropriately evidenced he plan.

that Non Designated Heritage Assets are not just buildings, but also include known and ors contacting the Norfolk Historic Environment Record for information about all known historic

TNP-20	29/11/2023	Place Shaping Team	South Norfolk Council	Broadland Business Park, Peachman	NR7 OWF	Policies Map		Yes	While maps have been provided for individual policies, it does not appear that a comprehensive Policies Map, s recommend that this is provided in order that the Plan can be accessible and to assist policy presentation, in lin
TNP-21	29/11/2023	Place Shaping Team	South Norfolk Council	Way, Norwich The Horizon Centre, Broadland Business Park, Peachman Way, Norwich	NR7 OWF	TAS1 Natural Assets	Yes		The Council considers that this is a positive policy that takes a proactive approach to protecting the natural env follow when loss or damage to an asset is unavoidable. Specific guidance on delivering BNG is also supported, p does however consider that the section of "Loss of natural assets" should be expanded to cover off-site mitigat sequentially preferable option in most circumstances, there may be circumstances where on-site mitigation/co beneficial in landscape and/or biodiversity terms. In order to ensure that the clarity required by paragraph 16 c way.
TNP-22	29/11/2023	Place Shaping Team	South Norfolk Council	The Horizon Centre, Broadland Business Park, Peachman Way, Norwich	NR7 OWF	TAS4 Climate Change	Yes		There is a typo at the end of the first line, referring to figures – both refer to figure 15. The Council feels that the term, 'large development' needs to be defined. Is this the same as Major Developme terms it will be difficult for officers to apply this policy consistently. The Council considers that this should be d
TNP-23	29/11/2023	Place Shaping Team	South Norfolk Council	The Horizon Centre, Broadland Business Park, Peachman Way, Norwich	NR7 OWF	TASS Dark Skies	Yes		The Council considers that the last line should be reworded to state that this 'will not be permitted' or 'will not
TNP-24	29/11/2023	Place Shaping Team	South Norfolk Council	The Horizon Centre, Broadland Business Park, Peachman Way, Norwich	NR7 OWF	Page 52 - Figure 23	Yes		There is no key on this map to distinguish between the 3 different character areas. The Council considers it wou to provide the clarity required by the NPPF.
TNP-25	29/11/2023	Place Shaping Team	South Norfolk Council	The Horizon Centre, Broadland Business Park, Peachman Way, Norwich	NR7 OWF	TAS6 Design Guidelines and Codes	Yes		The Council previously raised a concern that the area of the proposed TAS1 allocation (as proposed in the Villag Transition Area', as set out within this Policy. The Council remains of the opinion that the allocation site is mor elements of the village largely lying in the area beyond Old Hall Farm Bungalow. In addition, the extent of the 'Transition Area' covers the open space of the Tasburgh Enclosure. Presumably th to such a sensitive archaeological and heritage site? On this basis, the Council remains of the opinion that the Transition Area boundary should be amended so that and so that the boundary follows the road and existing residential development, without extending into the op The Council considers that these changes are necessary in order to ensure that the policy is clear and precise a
TNP-26	29/11/2023	Place Shaping Team	South Norfolk Council	The Horizon Centre, Broadland Business Park, Peachman Way, Norwich	NR7 OWF	TAS7 Housing location, pattern and scale	Yes		The Council previously raised a concern that the section of the policy dealing with the 'Gap between Upper and of the area being referred to. Although some wording has been removed, the removal of the second sentence (commencing 'Development t policy is positively worded. In addition, the Council remains of the opinion that a clearer and more precise map, setting out the precise bo Currently, it is not apparent from Figure 24 that such a gap exists. Such amendments will help to bring the clarity and positive wording required by the NPPF (paragraph 16).
TNP-27	29/11/2023	Place Shaping Team	South Norfolk Council	The Horizon Centre, Broadland Business Park, Peachman Way, Norwich	NR7 OWF	TAS8 Housing Mix	Yes		This policy states that there is a greater need for affordable housing and specialist housing in the parish. The Co paragraph 16 of the NPPF. The Council suggests that the first sentence of the paragraph under the heading 'Aff Assessment, opportunities should be taken to maximise the delivery of affordable housing, where appropriate,
TNP-28	29/11/2023	Place Shaping Team	South Norfolk Council	The Horizon Centre, Broadland Business Park, Peachman Way, Norwich	NR7 OWF	TAS9 Site north of Church Road	Yes		The Council has previously commented that it is not clear if the provision of a children's play area would exceed. The inclusion of the play area as a distinctly separate criteria does make it appear as an additional requirement play area should be delivered where possible; however the concern remains as to why the development would demands arising from development. The Council is concerned that this does not meet the NPPF requirement for evidence' (para 35 b). Criteria 'f' as it is written conflicts with the emerging policy VC TAS1 in the emerging South Norfolk Village Clust vehicular access from both Church Road and Henry Preston Road was determined by consultation with Norfolk As Government guidance states, 'although a draft neighbourhood plan is not tested against the policies in an er be relevant to the consideration of the basic conditions against which a neighbourhood plan is tested.' Therefor conditions with which the Neighbourhood Plan should be in conformity.
TNP-29	29/11/2023	Place Shaping Team	South Norfolk Council	The Horizon Centre, Broadland Business Park, Peachman Way, Norwich	NR7 OWF	Page 61 - Paragraph 7.11		Yes	In the third bullet point of this paragraph, it states 'to accommodate the 25 percent First Homes requirement requirement which can be exceeded if necessary.

ap, showing all of the areas affected by all policies, has been included. The Council would in line with paragraph 16 e) of the NPPF.

environment. The natural assets appear to be well justified and the policy includes guidance to ed, particularly the inclusion of creating connections between fragmented habitats. The Council tigation/compensation. Whilst it is reasonable to seek on-site mitigation/compensation as the n/compensation cannot be achieved, or where off-site mitigation/compensation may be more 16 d of the NPPF is achieved, the Council considers that the policy should be amended in this

oment (10+ dwellings or 0.5+ hectares)? Without a definition of what this is or consistent use of be defined in order to bring the clarity required by paragraph 16 d) of the NPPF.

not be supported', to provide the clarity required by the NPPF.

would be helpful for a key to be provided to show which colour represents which area, in order

/illage Clusters Housing Allocations Plan) would appear to be designated as part of the more appropriately read in the context of Upper Tasburgh, with the more historic, rural

y the development guidelines for this character area, as set out in the policy, should not apply

that the proposed allocation site is incorporated within the 'Upper Tasburgh' character area e open fields.

se and that it contributes to sustainable development, in accord with paragraph 16 of the NPPF

and Lower Tasburgh' could be more positively written as well as being more precise, in terms

nt that would individually or cumulatively erode...') would help to ensure that this part of the

boundary of the gap between the two areas, would help to ensure the clarity of the policy.

e Council considers that the policy should be amended to improve its clarity, as required by 'Affordable Housing', is amended to 'In line with the findings of the Tasburgh Housing Needs iate, above the minimum required by the Local Plan.'

ceed open space standards. The policy as it is currently worded still does not make this clear. nent to the general open space required. It is acknowledged that criteria 'b' does state that the ould justify being required to deliver more open space than needed to meet the additional nt for plans to be 'aspirational but deliverable' (para. 16 b) and to be 'based on proportionate

Clusters Housing Allocations Plan. As stated in previous comments, the requirement for folk County Council Highways in order to make the access acceptable. In emerging local plan, the reasoning and evidence informing the local plan process is likely to refore the Council considers that this element of the policy is not in accord with the basic

ent mandated nationally...'. This figure is not 'mandated nationally' - it is a minimum