

PLANNING COMMITTEE

22 March 2023

Final Papers

Page No

Supplementary Schedule

39

Attached is the Supplementary Schedule showing those representations received since the Agenda was published and other relevant information.



Broadland District Council
Thorpe Lodge, 1 Yarmouth Road, Norwich, NR7 0DU
Tel: 01603 430404
Email: committee.services@southnorfolkand
broadland.gov.uk



SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULE OF APPLICATIONS TO BE CONSIDERED

Plan No	Application No	Location	Update	Page Nos
1	2021/2207	Horstead with Stanninghall	 Additional supporting information has been received by the applicant Applicant is willing to be flexible regarding position of the footpath. Willing to sign GIRAMS unilateral agreement, Willing to find a solution of nutrient neutrality Willing to secure landscaping Photographs have been submitted to illustrate landscape impact Applicant believes that paragraph 11 should be engaged because they are willing to seek a nutrient neutrality solution. One letter of support Footpath and reduction in speed limit would be benefits Other houses have been approved nearby Officer Comments In terms of the position of the footpath, Members need to consider the plans before them. A S106 agreement would add further security to the long term use of footpath, but could be varied in the future so does not the achieve the same level of protection as an adopted path. The path could be potentially dedicated as a Public Right of Way if this is agreed by the NCC Public Right of Way team. No discussion regarding this has occurred so there is no guarantee this could be achieved. A signed GIRAMS unilateral agreement has not been received. 	10

			 The applicant does not have a bespoke nutrient solution so would be relying on purchasing credits via the joint venture scheme. At this stage no credits have been purchased. Without evidence that there is a clear solution to mitigate nutrients, it cannot be concluded that the proposal will not have an adverse impact upon European Sites. On this basis footnote 11 is not engaged and the tilted balance does not apply to this application. Even if the footpath and landscaping were secured by a S106 it is not considered that they achieve a level of benefit, which would justify departing from the development plan. 	
2	2023/0306	Acle	No Updates	