

## DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

**Minutes of a meeting of the Development Management Committee of South Norfolk District Council held at South Norfolk House, Long Stratton, on Wednesday 28 March 2018 at 10.00 am.**

<b>Committee Members Present:</b>	<b>Councillors:</b>	V Thomson (Chairman), V Bell, D Bills (for applications 1–6), B Duffin (for applications 1–9), F Ellis, M Gray, C Kemp, G Minshull, L Neal (for applications 1–7) and A Thomas
<b>Apologies:</b>	<b>Councillor:</b>	Y Bendle
<b>Substitute Members:</b>	<b>Councillor:</b>	N Legg for Y Bendle
<b>Officers in Attendance:</b>	The Development Manager (H Mellors), the Development Management Team Leader (R Collins), the Senior Planning Officers (C Raine and C Watts) and the Planning Officers (T Barker and B Skipper)	

The Press and 34 members of the public were also in attendance.

### 380. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

The following members declared interests in the matters listed below. Unless indicated otherwise, they remained in the meeting.

Application	Parish	Councillor	Declaration
2017/2450/H (Item 1)	COSTESSEY	All	Local Planning Code of Practice Lobbied by local member
		V Bell	Member considered she was pre-determined and stepped down from the Committee for this item and reverted to her role as local member

<b>2017/1828/RVC (Item 3)</b>	<b>ALDEBY</b>	All	Local Planning Code of Practice Lobbied by Applicant, Objector and local member
<b>2017/2515/F (Item 4)</b>	<b>DISS</b>	All  G Minshall	Local Planning Code of Practice Lobbied by Objector  Member considered he was pre- determined and stepped down from the Committee for this item and reverted to his role as local member
<b>2017/2701/O (Item 7)</b>	<b>EAST CARLETON</b>	All  N Legg	Local Planning Code of Practice Lobbied by the Applicant  Other interest – member had meeting with Applicant and Agent, but gave no opinions
<b>2017/2920/F (Item 11)</b>	<b>WRAMPLINGHAM</b>	All	Local Planning Code of Practice Lobbied by Objector
<b>2018/0004/F (Item 14)</b>	<b>ALDEBY</b>	All	Local Planning Code of Practice Lobbied by local member
<b>2018/0082/RVC (Item 16)</b>	<b>WHEATACRE</b>	All	Local Planning Code of Practice Lobbied by the Applicant and local member
<b>2018/0199/F (Item 17)</b>	<b>COSTESSEY</b>	V Bell	Local Planning Code of Practice Lobbied by the Applicant

### 381. MINUTES

The minutes of the Development Management Committee meeting dated 31 January 2018 were confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

### 382. PLANNING APPLICATIONS AND OTHER DEVELOPMENT CONTROL MATTERS

The Committee considered the report (circulated) of the Director of Growth and Business Development, which was presented by the officers. The Committee received updates to the report, which are appended to these minutes at Appendix A.

The following speakers addressed the meeting with regard to the applications listed below.

APPLICATION	PARISH	SPEAKER
2017/2450/H	COSTESSEY	Cllr V Bell – on behalf of objectors and as local member
2017/1828/RVC	ALDEBY	B Wyllie - objector
2017/2515/F	DISS	D Sarson – Diss Town Council M Bootman – objector P Hyde - objector Cllr T Palmer – local member Cllr K Kiddie – local member Cllr G Minshull – local member
2017/2490/F	WYMONDHAM	E Whettingsteel – Agent for Applicant
2017/2701/O	EAST CARLETON	G Davies – Agent for Applicant
2017/2845/F	HETHERSETT	N Cooper – Agent for Applicant
2018/0272/F	HETHERSETT	R Brown – Applicant
2017/2743/F	GREAT MOULTON	J Grimmer – Applicant J Parker – Agent for Applicant Cllr M Wilby – local member
2017/2920/F	WRAMPLINGHAM	L Norton – in support of the Applicant Cllr M Dewsbury – local member
2017/2795/F	NEWTON FLOTMAN	P Sneddon – Agent for Applicant
2017/2796/LB	NEWTON FLOTMAN	P Sneddon – Agent for Applicant
2018/0004/F	ALDEBY	K Powley – Agent for Applicant
2018/0017/F	REDENHALL WITH HARLESTON	R Twigg – in support of the Applicant P Oakes - Applicant
2018/0082/RVC	WHEATACRE	D Ladd – Objector Mrs Beaumont – Applicant
2018/0199/F	COSTESSEY	D Le-May – Applicant J Thompson – in support of Applicant

The Committee made the decisions indicated in Appendix B of these minutes, conditions of approval or reasons for refusal of planning permission as determined by the Committee being in summary form only and subject to the final determination of the Director of Growth and Business Development.

### **383. PLANNING APPEALS**

The Committee noted the planning appeals.

(The meeting closed at 4.38pm)

---

Chairman

**Updates for DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE**  
**– 28 March 2018**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Updates</b>	<b>Page No</b>
Item 1 - 2017/2450	Representation from Cllr Lewis forwarded to the Development Management Committee and noted by officers.	<b>19</b>
Item 2 – 2017/2247	<p>1. Further comments received from Council's Landscape Architect in response to amended Landscape Scheme and Management Plan. All amendments satisfactory and to be listed as agreed plans/documents for implementation.</p> <p><u>Officer response:</u> It is recommended that Condition 3 is updated to remove the requirement for a landscape management scheme to be submitted, as these details have now been agreed and list new agreed documents/plans.</p> <p>2. Updated comments received from the Highways Authority with regards to the detailed internal road layout.</p> <p><u>Officer response:</u> Further minor amendments are awaited from applicant, however all other aspects of the road layout, access arrangements and parking are acceptable and the overall layout will not change as a result of these amendments. Application is recommended for approval subject to confirmation from the Highway Authority that the amendments comply with the highway authority's technical standards.</p>	<b>24</b>
Item 3 – 2017/1828	No update	<b>45</b>
Item 4 – 2017/2515	<p>A further letter of objection has been received from the Diss Heritage Triangle Trust, their comments are summarised as follows:</p> <p>The proposal is one of many submitted for development in various Morrison's car parks and has little or no relation to the layout, character or needs of Diss.</p> <p>This is a proposal essential to the development of the Neighbourhood Plan and in the deferred consideration of the application on 28<sup>th</sup> March the Trust again asks for the application to be refused.</p> <p>The design quality is low and for that reason alone fails to meet the requirements of the current National Planning Policy Framework. The new, out for consultation, Framework (Section 7 ... Ensuring the vitality of town centres, Section 12 Achieving well-designed places, and especially Section 16 Conserving and enhancing the historic environment, para 183) further extends and emphasises design and heritage reasons for refusal.</p>	<b>57</b>

	In the Diss Express of March 23 <sup>rd</sup> it is announced by the Council Leader that SNC will work with local communities as part of a new 'proactive and innovative approach'. Diss Town Council, the Diss and District Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group, Local dependent Traders Group and this Trust, all forming part of the Diss community urge the refusal of this application. It is hoped the 'better planning' involved in 'engaging communities' will mean the objections to this application are given considerable weight and the application refused.	
Item 5 – 2018/0126	No update	<b>69</b>
Item 6 – 2017/2490	<p><b>Officer update:</b> The proposed building straddles the strategic gap and the proposed garden is within the strategic gap.</p> <p><b>Parish Council</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Outside development boundary and inside Wymondham / Hethersett strategic gap</li> <li>• Contrary to streetscene and out of keeping with neighbouring dwellings.</li> </ul> <p><b>An addition letter of objection</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concerned that the proposed development would exacerbate surface water flooding problems at their property on the opposite side of the road.</li> </ul>	<b>73</b>
Item 7 – 2017/2701	<p>A proposed Street scene photomontage has been submitted by the applicant and sent to members. It will form part of the officer presentation.</p> <p>Water Management Officer: Support conditionally subject to condition for details of surface water disposal.</p>	<b>81</b>
Item 8 – 2017/2845	No update	<b>91</b>
Item 9 – 2018/0272	<p>NCC Highways: Support subject to provision of on-site car parking.</p> <p>1 letter of objection:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Proposed house would dominate gardens of Nos 5 and 5a,</li> <li>• Height of roof would be out of character with the area.</li> <li>• The rear garden of the proposed house would be very small for the size of the house.</li> <li>• The</li> <li>• proposal represents overdevelopment of the site.</li> <li>• The proposed house is shown as being hard against the southern boundary of the applicant's</li> <li>• land, along which a fence is to be erected on completion of No. 5. There is thus no room for</li> <li>• scaffolding to be erected during the construction works, neither would any maintenance on that</li> <li>• side of the house be possible without accessing from the neighbour's land (No. 5).</li> </ul>	<b>99</b>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If the proposal were to go ahead there is very limited</li> <li>• space for delivery vehicles, a rubbish skip, workers' vehicles and all the paraphernalia that goes</li> <li>• with a building site. The applicant has right of access over the portion of the access track referred</li> <li>• to above but we foresee our access (to No. 7 and No. 5A) being frequently blocked. No. 5 will</li> <li>• suffer similarly.</li> </ul>	
Item 10 – 2017/2743	<p>There is a proof-reading error in section 5.3 of the report (second reason for refusal) which should read -</p> <p>‘...within a location where a 5-year housing land supply <b>can</b> be demonstrated...’</p>	<b>104</b>
Item 11 – 2017/2920	<p>1. There is a proof-reading error in section 5.3 of the report (second reason for refusal) which should read -</p> <p>‘...within a location where a 5-year housing land supply <b>can</b> be demonstrated...’</p> <p>2. Expansion of comments from Cllr Dewsbury in calling in this application;</p> <p>Proposal would support small country business and contribute to community life of small village. Site is not isolated, close to village boundaries of Wramplingham, Barford &amp; Gt Melton. Short car journeys are acceptable in this context.</p> <p>3. Two further letters of representation have been received and are summarised with an officer response below:</p> <p>Planning policies generally unchanged since enforcement action some years ago. Unauthorised use of land as forestry contractors yard. Approval would legitimise unauthorised use and should be deferred until forestry business is considered. Forestry business better sited in less sensitive or commercial area</p> <p>Issues of wildlife and flooding not addressed. Site is spatially isolated from other built development. Site will be visually intrusive. If permitted, would urbanise this rural spot. Approval would legitimise unauthorised use and should be deferred until forestry business is considered. Objections are raised to development of river valley in recent GNLP consultation. This would set poor precedent.</p> <p><u>Officer response:</u> These matters have largely been addressed within the relevant sections of the committee report.</p>	<b>111</b>

	<p>In 1992, the Council served an enforcement notice requiring removal of an unauthorised building on this site, notice upheld on appeal.</p> <p>Subsequent planning application to retain this building was refused on landscape harm and lack of functional need.</p>	
Item 12 & 13 – 2017/2795 & 2017/2796	No update.	<b>119</b>
Item 14 – 2018/0004	<p>Further to Cllr William Kemp's comments in the committee report, please note his further comments, summarised below, with regards to this application.</p> <p>The proposed annexe is not "significantly distanced from the main dwelling". It is a 10 second walk from door to door.</p> <p>The house and proposed annexe would have a shared parking area so it is incorrect to state that the proposed annexe would have its own parking area. The 'garden' for the annexe already exists within the curtilage of the main house so this application does not 'on the ground' create a new garden. Indeed creating 'rooms' within a larger garden or having dedicated spaces within a larger garden separated by hedges/walls is a well respected technique within landscaping (i.e. a kitchen garden).</p> <p>It has been suggested that the Applicants could convert the building to the left of the main house but again from a cursory inspection on site this is not viable. This building has no foundations, is tall and thin and unsuited to being an annexe for elderly relatives. The building could not provide adequate accommodation on the ground floor and is not suited for the installation of chair lifts for that purpose. Any extensions to this building to make it more user friendly would have detrimental impact on the appearance of the main farm house which whilst not listed should be preserved/enhanced.</p> <p>I also note that the Highways Officer has also provided support for the application on the basis that the accommodation is used as an annexe - not as a separate home or holiday accommodation. This refutes the officers point that you would struggle to resist removing any conditions re. re-sale.</p> <p>Officer response: There is no set definition of close relationship. How we would usually interpret this is that the main dwelling and the annexe would either share accommodation, garden or other outside space, without separation. Given the annexe is separate and has its own garden and parking I would suggest it has no relationship with the main dwelling and its position adjacent to the highway further exacerbates this and its likelihood that</p>	<b>127</b>



	<p>it would be a separate dwelling in the future, contrary to policy.</p> <p>Officers have suggested an extension to the building adjacent to create ground floor accommodation and we consider this is possible without altering the character and appearance of the existing.</p> <p>I have reviewed the highways authority comments which are in response to this proposal only. Therefore, I would not assume that an application for a dwelling would be unacceptable in this location in terms of impact on the highway.</p>	
Item 15 – 2018/0017	<p>Highway Authority comments: The section of Swan Lane from where the car park is served has double yellow lines from the junction with Weavers Croft to The Thoroughfare both sides. Therefore there can be no possibility of parking on the highway should any problems arise within the car park itself. The road is also subject to a 20 mph speed limit for that section. The road is one way only for the section at the side of the PH building up to the junction with The Thoroughfare.</p> <p>The development does however, appear to be using a good proportion of the car parking area. Although the space used will depend on the customer attraction of the facility. If the facility does prove very popular then whilst there is the possibility of vehicles backing up onto the highway, then this is likely to be sporadic. The NPPF only permits a highway reason for refusal in terms of vehicle congestion, when the situation is severe. Which is not the case in this instance.</p> <p>Having considered the proposal as submitted no highway objections are therefore raised. The applicant has not however provided any justification for the loss of parking for PH use.</p>	<b>131</b>
Item 16 – 2018/0082	<p>The three vehicles referred to in the offices report could be vehicles of up to 35 seats.</p> <p>Members to note letter from applicant previously circulated.</p>	<b>138</b>
Item 17 – 2018/0199	<p><u>District Councillor</u></p> <p>Must be determined by Committee</p> <p>The applicant has already possess a Certificate of Lawfulness and I agree with Costessey Town Council's position that this will only improve the area</p>	<b>144</b>

PLANNING APPLICATIONS AND OTHER DEVELOPMENT CONTROL MATTERS**NOTE:**

Conditions of approval or reasons for refusal of planning permission as determined by the Committee are in summary form only and subject to the Director of Growth and Business Development's final determination.

**Applications referred back to Committee following Site Panel Visit**

- 1     **Appl. No**                         :     **2017/2450/H**  
       **Parish**                             :     **COSTESSEY**
- Applicants Name                 :     Mr & Mrs S Swatman  
Site Address                         :     23 Margaret Road Costessey NR5 0AU  
Proposal                               :     Rear and side extensions
- Decision                                 :     Members voted 5-4 with 1 abstention for **Approval**
- Approved with conditions  
1 Full Planning permission time limit  
2 In accordance with amendments

**Major Applications**

- 2     **Appl. No**                         :     **2017/2247/D**  
       **Parish**                             :     **SWARDESTON**
- Applicants Name                 :     Bennet PLC  
Site Address                         :     Land Off Bobbins Way Swardeston Norfolk NR14 8DT  
Proposal                               :     Reserved matters application for demolition of existing buildings, residential development of 38 dwellings and ancillary works following outline permission 2014/1642 for access, appearance, landscaping, layout and scale.
- Decision                                 :     Members voted unanimously for **Approval**
- Authorise Director of Growth and Business Development to Approve with Conditions  
1. Conditions of outline must be met  
2. In accordance with amended plans  
3. Implementation of landscape scheme
- Subject to no objection from Norfolk County Council Highway Authority and no new material considerations being raised by other consultees and third parties.

**Application referred back to Committee**

**3      Appl. No                    :    2017/1828/RVC**  
**Parish                    :    ALDEBY**

Applicants Name        :    Mr Akerman  
Site Address             :    Aldeby Business Park Common Road Aldeby NR34 0BL  
Proposal                 :    Variation of Condition 4 (Hours of Use) of 2000/0917 - Change of Use from B2 (General Industrial) use to mixed B2 (General industrial) and B8 (Storage/Distribution) use - to allow permanent change to hours of use (following temporary change to hours of use under Permission 2015/1994)

Decision                 :    Members voted 9-2 for **Approval**

Approved with conditions  
1 Specific Use – B2/B8  
2 Restricted hours of use  
3 No extraction / fan system  
4 No outside manufacturing  
5 No retail sales  
6 No vehicle repairs or maintenance  
7 retention of fencing  
8 Highways signs to be agreed  
9 Management plan

**Other applications**

**4      Appl. No                    :    2017/2515/F**  
**Parish                    :    DISS**

Applicants Name        :    Morrisons  
Site Address             :    Morrisons, Victoria Road, Diss, IP22 4XF  
Proposal                 :    Erection of 4 mixed use retail units, car wash area, tyre service area and small retail pod, within the existing car park.

Decision                 :    Members voted 8-0 with 2 abstentions for **Refusal** (contrary to officer recommendation, which was lost 0-8 with 2 abstentions)

Refused

Reasons for overturning officer recommendation

1. Poor form and layout of the two units and poor design.
2. Location of car wash and tyre bay and loss of parking, causing congestion and obscuring access to public footpath.

Approved with conditions

- 1 Reduced time limit (5 yr land supply)
- 2 In accordance with amendments
- 3 Slab level to be agreed
- 4 External materials to be agreed
- 5 Window details
- 6 Specific details to be agreed
- 7 No PD for Classes ABCDE & G
- 8 Domestic Microgeneration Equipment
- 9 Provision of parking, service
- 10 Foul drainage to main sewer
- 11 Surface Water
- 12 Water efficiency
- 13 Tree protection
- 14 Retention trees and hedges
- 15 Reporting of unexpected contamination
- 16 Hard and soft landscaping for frontage

**7      Appl. No                        :    2017/2701/O**  
**Parish                        :    EAST CARLETON**

Applicants Name        :    Mr Alan Jones  
Site Address             :    Former Nursery Site To The West Of Low Common Swardeston  
    NR14 8LG  
Proposal                 :    Outline Permission for three dwellings and associated landscaping  
    & external works.

Decision                 :    Members voted 6-4 for **Refusal**

Refused

- 1 Impact on rural landscape and character
- 2 Poor connectivity
- 3 Unsustainable development

**8      Appl. No                        :    2017/2845/F**  
**Parish                        :    HETHERSETT**

Applicants Name        :    Mr Hundal  
Site Address             :    Land North Of Twin Barn Farm Ketteringham Lane Hethersett NR9  
    3DF  
Proposal                 :    New dwelling to include self-contained residential annex and  
    ancillary facilities

Decision                 :    Members voted 9-0 for **Approval**

Approved with conditions

- 1 Reduced time limit (5 yr land supply)
- 2 In accord with submitted drawings
- 3 External materials to be agreed
- 4 Surface Water
- 5 Foul drainage to sealed system
- 6 Provision of parking, service
- 7 Occupation of annexe
- 8 No PD for Classes ABCDE & G
- 9 No PD for fences, walls etc
- 10 Boundary treatment to be agreed
- 11 Landscaping scheme to be submitted
- 12 New Water Efficiency
- 13 Renewable Energy - Decentralised source
- 14 Reporting of unexpected contamination

- 9      Appl. No                               :   2018/0272/F**  
**Parish                               :   HETHERSETT**
- Applicants Name       :   Mr Ray Brown  
Site Address            :   Land To The Rear Of 3 Great Melton Road Hethersett Norfolk  
Proposal                :   Erection of new detached dwelling
- Decision                :   Members voted 8-0 with 1 abstention for **Refusal**
- Refused
1. Out of character with the established built form of the immediate area
  2. Poor quality amenity space for the future occupiers of the new dwelling.
  3. The physical bulk of the dwelling results in an overbearing impact on the neighbouring properties
- 10     Appl. No                               :   2017/2743/F**  
**Parish                               :   GREAT MOULTON**
- Applicants Name       :   Ms Joanne Grimmer  
Site Address            :   Land North Of Frosts Lane Great Moulton Norfolk  
Proposal                :   Erection of new self build dwelling and garage
- Decision                :   Members voted 7-0 with 1 abstention for **Approval** (contrary to officer recommendation, which was lost 0-7 with 1 abstention)
- Approved with conditions  
Conditions to be finalised by officers but to include additional landscaping condition
- Reasons for overturning officer recommendation
- 1   Members considered that the development would not erode the rural character
  - 2   Given the housing shortfall in the rural policy area, it was considered that this development would form sustainable development
- 11     Appl. No                               :   2017/2920/F**  
**Parish                               :   WRAMPLINGHAM**
- Applicants Name       :   Mr Roger Norton  
Site Address            :   Land West of The Street Wramplingham Norfolk  
Proposal                :   Proposed new dwelling
- Decision                :   Members voted 5-3 for **Refusal**
- Refused
- 1   Harm to landscape character
  - 2   Unsustainable development

**12    Appl. No                    :    2017/2795/F**  
**Parish                    :    NEWTON FLOTMAN**

Applicants Name        :    Mr Richard Kenyon  
Site Address             :    Relish Restaurant And Bar Old Street Newton Flotman NR15 1PD  
Proposal                 :    Change of use from existing restaurant with 3 bedroom first floor living area to 4 bedroom residential property and new 3 bedroom dwelling within current car parking area.

Decision                 :    Members voted 8-0 for **Approval**

Approved with conditions

- 1    Full Planning permission time limit
- 2    In accord with submitted drawings
- 3    External materials to be agreed
- 4    Window details to be agreed
- 5    New Water Efficiency
- 6    Protection of existing hedgerow
- 7    Use of existing building
- 8    Surface water
- 9    Car parking/turning
10. Surface water
- 11 Contaminated land
- 12 Boundary treatment

**13    Appl. No                    :    2017/2796/LB**  
**Parish                    :    NEWTON FLOTMAN**

Applicants Name        :    Mr Richard Kenyon  
Site Address             :    Relish Restaurant And Bar Old Street Newton Flotman NR15 1PD  
Proposal                 :    Change of from existing restaurant with 3 bedroom first floor living area to 4 bedroom residential property.

Decision                 :    Members voted 8-0 for **Approval**

Approved with conditions

- 1    Listed Building Time Limit
- 2    In accord with submitted drawings

**14    Appl. No                    :    2018/0004/F**  
**Parish                    :    ALDEBY**

Applicants Name        :    Mr Jon-Henri Sherwood  
Site Address             :    Church Farm Waterheath Road Aldeby Norfolk NR34 0DQ  
Proposal                 :    Proposed conversion and extension to garage to form granny annexe

Decision                 :    Members voted 7-0 with 1 abstention for **Refusal**

Refused

- 1 Contrary to SNLP policy 3.7 relating to residential annexes, tantamount to new dwelling in unsustainable location

- |    |                 |   |   |
|----|-----------------|---|---|
| 15 | <b>Appl. No</b> | : | <b>2018/0017/F</b>  |
|    | <b>Parish</b>   | : | <b>REDENHALL WITH HARLESTON</b>   |
|    |                 |   |   |
|    | Applicants Name | : | Mr Patrick Oakes  |
|    | Site Address    | : | Swan Hotel 19 The Thoroughfare Harleston IP20 9AS   |
|    | Proposal        | : | Change of use for part of the Swan Hotel car park to be used as a hand car wash and valeting service.   |
|    |                 |   |   |
|    | Decision        | : | Members voted 8-0 for <b>Refusal</b>  |
|    |                 |   |   |
|    |                 |   | Refused   |
|    |                 |   | 1 Detrimental to setting of Listed Building and Conservation Area   |
|    |                 |   | 2 Detrimental to neighbour amenity  |
|    |                 |   |   |
| 16 | <b>Appl. No</b> | : | <b>2018/0082/RVC</b>  |
|    | <b>Parish</b>   | : | <b>WHEATACRE</b>  |
|    |                 |   |   |
|    | Applicants Name | : | Mr Roger Beaumont   |
|    | Site Address    | : | Old Mill House Beccles Road Wheatacre Norfolk NR34 0BS  |
|    | Proposal        | : | Variation of condition 2 of permission 2014/1221 (Variation of Conditions 2 and 3 of planning permission 2013/1602/RVC - permitted hours increased to 6.00am to 23.59pm Monday to Saturday inclusive and increase setting capacity of 2 buses from 33 to 41 seats.) - To allow for increased hours of operation on Friday and Saturday's from 23:59 to 00:59 for three vehicles only. |
|    |                 |   |   |
|    | Decision        | : | Members voted 5-3 for <b>Refusal</b>  |
|    |                 |   |   |
|    |                 |   | Refused   |
|    |                 |   | 1 Detrimental to residential amenity, contrary to Policy DM3.13 of the SNLP and paragraph 123 of the NPPF   |
|    |                 |   |   |
| 17 | <b>Appl. No</b> | : | <b>2018/0199/F</b>  |
|    | <b>Parish</b>   | : | <b>COSTESSEY</b>  |
|    |                 |   |   |
|    | Applicants Name | : | Mr Damian Le-may  |
|    | Site Address    | : | Land To The Rear Of 45-49 Stafford Avenue Costessey Norfolk NR5 0QF   |
|    | Proposal        | : | Erection of single storey dwelling  |
|    |                 |   |   |
|    | Decision        | : | Members voted 4-3 with 2 abstentions for <b>Refusal</b> (the Chairman used his casting vote)  |
|    |                 |   |   |
|    |                 |   | Refused   |
|    |                 |   | 1 Detrimental to form and character   |