

# **DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE**

Minutes of a meeting of the Development Management Committee of South Norfolk District Council held at South Norfolk House, Long Stratton, on Wednesday 25 April 2018 at 10.00 am.

Committee Members Present:	Councillors:	V Thomson (Chairman), V Bell, Y Bendle, B Duffin, C Kemp, G Minshull, L Neal, (for applications 1-6) A Thomas and (for applications 1-7) M Gray
Apologies:	Councillors:	D Bills and F Ellis
Substitute Members:	Councillors:	C Gould for D Bills and N Legg for F Ellis
Officers in Attendance:	The Development Manager (H Mellors), the Development Management Team Leader (R Collins), the Major Projects Tean Leader (T Lincoln), the Senior Planning Officer (C Curtis), the Landscape Architect (R Taylor) and the Planning Officer (T Barker)	
	(T Barker)	chitect (R Taylor) and the Planning Officer 46 members of the public were also in

attendance.

# 384. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

The following members declared interests in the matters listed below. Unless indicated otherwise, they remained in the meeting.

Application	Parish	Councillor	Declaration
		All	Local Planning Code of Practice Lobbied by Objectors
2017/2652/O (Item 1)	PORINGLAND	L Neal	Local Planning Code of Practice Lobbied by the Applicant and Agent
		L Neal and V Thomson	Other Interest Applicant known to members, but had not discussed application

2017/2794/O	KESWICK AND	All	Local Planning Code of Practice Lobbied by Objector
(Item 2)	INTWOOD	C Kemp	Local Planning Code of Practice Lobbied by the Applicant
2017/2843/O (Item 3)	LITTLE MELTON	All	Local Planning Code of Practice Lobbied by the Agent
2016/1627/O (Item 4)	PORINGLAND	L Neal	Other Interest Applicant known to member but had not discussed application
2018/0101/CU (Item 6)	BAWBURGH	L Neal	Other Interest Applicant known to member but had not discussed application
0040/0500// 5		M Gray	Pecuniary interest Member is Applicant. Member stood down for this item
2018/0588/LB (Item 8)	WORTWELL	All	Other interest Applicant is a fellow South Norfolk Councillor

#### 385. MINUTES

The minutes of the Development Management Committee meeting dated 28 March 2018 were confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

# 386. PLANNING APPLICATIONS AND OTHER DEVELOPMENT CONTROL MATTERS

The Committee considered the report (circulated) of the Director of Growth and Business Development, which was presented by the officers. The Committee received updates to the report, which are appended to these minutes at Appendix A.

The following speakers addressed the meeting with regard to the applications listed below.

APPLICATION	PARISH	SPEAKER
AFFLICATION	FARISH	JFEARER
2017/2652/O (Item 1)	PORINGLAND	J Henson – Poringland Parish Council L Brook – Framingham Earl Parish Council P Harrison – Objector Cllr J Overton – Local Member
2017/2794/O (Item 2)	KESWICK AND INTWOOD	K Hanner – Keswick Parish Council D Hill – Objector I Douglas – Agent for Applicant J Cage – Applicant Cllr G Wheatley – Local Member
2017/2843/O (Item 3)	LITTLE MELTON	J Heaser – Little Melton Parish Council S Head – Objector K Stock – Objector H Smith – Agent for Applicant Cllr G Wheatley – Local Member
2016/1627/O (Item 4)	PORINGLAND	J Henson – Poringland Parish Council Cllr J Overton – Local Member
2018/0101/CU (Item 6)	BAWBURGH	D Goodman – Bawburgh Parish Council M Shelley – Agent for Applicant Cllr G Wheatley – Local Member
2018/0114/F (Item 7)	BAWBURGH	D Goodman – Bawburgh Parish Council R Barnard – Applicant

The Committee made the decisions indicated in Appendix B of these minutes, conditions of approval or reasons for refusal of planning permission as determined by the Committee being in summary form only and subject to the final determination of the Director of Growth and Business Development.

# 387. QUARTERLY ENFORCEMENT REPORT

Members noted the report of the Director of Growth and Business Development regarding the enforcement.

#### 388. PLANNING APPEALS

The Committee noted the report and were pleased to see a reduction in the number of appeals

(The meeting closed at 4.47pm)

Chairman

TB/Development Management Committee Mins

# Updates for DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE –25 April 2018

ltem	Updates	Page No
Item 1	Letter received from Burgate Lane Action Group and	26
2017/2652	sent to all members.	
	The recently approved Norfolk Strategic Planning Framework, produced jointly by all the local planning authorities in Norfolk, underwent public consultation that included background evidence such as the Central Norfolk Strategic Housing Market Assessment (CNSHMA). Representations were made on the CNSHMA by Gladman Developments Ltd, setting out a critique of the CNSHMA. However, officers believe that the criticisms are flawed and that the CNSHMA is robust evidence. This robustness has been demonstrated through the use of the CNSHMA and acceptance by an Inspector in considering an appeal on a planning application in North Norfolk.	
	Following the CNSHMA consultation it was agreed by the Norfolk Councils' that the Gladman representation would be sent to each Local Authority to be taken into consideration in the determination of relevant planning applications. In view of the above, due consideration has been given to the contents of the Gladman's representations but this does not materially affect the recommendation as stated in the committee report.	
Item 2	Oral update given at meeting	42
2017/2794	Norwich cycling campaign Welcomed connection to yellow pedal way Paragraph 49 – maximum heights should be B8 – 10m	
	(not 9m) and $B2 - 9m$ (not 10m)	
Item 3	Letter submitted and circulated to all members by	76
2017/2843	applicant's agent.	
Item 4	No Updates	88
2016/1627		
Item 5 2018/0091	Environmental quality response Environmental quality have responded to the consultation requiring a contaminated land condition. This will be added to any subsequent approval. <u>Condition update</u> Condition 7 of the application proposes that this permission shall replace the previous permission for a residential dwelling to the front of the application site (2017/2802). Further legal advice has been sought and this would not be reasonable to secure via	99
	<ul> <li>condition. This can only be secured via a section 106 agreement.</li> <li>The council has contacted the applicant in this regard and they state that:</li> <li>It was always the intention that should this application be approved then the previously approved scheme would not be developed as the red line runs through the site of the approved one, e.g. the access to</li> </ul>	

·		
	the rear runs through the scheme, this means the proposed dwelling to the front cannot be developed if	
	the rear one is approved, and implemented.	
	Despite this the applicant has stated that they are prepared to agree to having a section 106 agreement if members were to require it but would like members to note the additional cost to the applicant and would like them to consider the latter point raised.	
	<u>Officer response</u> The issue is the previous approval was an outline planning permission with all maters reserved. Therefore, it is possible a dwelling could be constructed on the remaining plot albeit very narrow and requiring a reserved matters planning approval. However, with the principle of development established through the granting of the outline planning permission. For these reasons officers advise a s.106 should be entered in to.	
	Updated application recommendation: Grant planning permission subject to conditions 1-6 as outline in the committee report and the additional contamination condition as set out above but subject to the signing of a section 106 agreement for the construction of only one dwellings either application reference /2018/0091 (this permission) or 2017/2802 (the dwelling to the front).	
Item 6 2018/0101	No Updates.	107
Item 7 2018/0114	Landscape Architect An additional comment has been received as follows: The existing hedgerows on the site are subject to the Hedgerows Regulations. From the information it is clear that the roadside frontage hedgerow is a significant feature, and potentially qualifies as important as defined by the Regulations. I can find no assessment against the importance criteria as part of the supporting information for the application.	114
	The application proposes the removal of 50 metres of the frontage hedge to facilitate the access and visibility splays. Policy DM 4.8 presumes in favour of the retention of important hedgerows so, in the absence of an assessment that proves the contrary, my view is that the proposal is unacceptable.	
	<u>Officer comment</u> The officer report includes the arboriculturalist comments which set out that the hedgerow removal is acceptable subject to the proposed replanting. Given the comments from the Landscape Architect above, the officer has revisited this issue and considers that a further reason for a refusal is required:	
	'The application contains insufficient information to justify the loss of an important hedgerow, contrary to Policy DM4.8 of the South Norfolk Local Plan, which	

	presumes in favour of the retention of 'important' hedgerows as defined by the Hedgerow Regulations 1997'.	
	The first reason for refusal has also been updated to include reference to the removal of this significant hedgerow, further outweighing the benefits of providing two dwellings in this location.	
Item 8 2018/0588	No Updates.	124
Item 9 2018/0639	No Updates.	128

25 April 2018

#### PLANNING APPLICATIONS AND OTHER DEVELOPMENT CONTROL MATTERS

#### NOTE:

Conditions of approval or reasons for refusal of planning permission as determined by the Committee are in summary form only and subject to the Director of Growth and Business Development's final determination.

## **Major Applications**

1	Appl. No Parish	:	2017/2652/O PORINGLAND
	Site Address Proposal	:	Land South of Burgate Lane Poringland Norfolk Outline application for the erection of up to 165 dwellings with public open space, landscaping and sustainable drainage system (SuDS) and vehicular access point from Burgate Lane. All matters reserved except for means of access.
	Decision	:	Members voted unanimously for Refusal
			Refused
			<ol> <li>Not sustainable development contrary to DM1.1 and NPPF 14</li> <li>No overriding benefit contrary to DM1.3</li> <li>Landscape impact – rural character</li> <li>Loss of important hedgerow</li> <li>Insufficient ecological surveys</li> </ol>
2	Appl. No Parish	:	2017/2794/O KESWICK AND INTWOOD
	Site Address Proposal	:	Land West Of Ipswich Road Keswick Norfolk Outline Application for Proposed employment development consisting of B1, B2 and B8 uses, associated access and landscaping; and proposed link road between the A140 and the B1113, including new roundabout with some matters reserved (resubmission)
	Decision	:	Members voted 6-5 for Approval
			Approved with conditions
			<ol> <li>Outline time limit</li> <li>Reserved matters to be approved – appearance, landscape, layout, scale</li> <li>Reserved matters substantially in accordance with Parameters plan 402 and Landscape Strategy drawing 2035 01 (to control building heights, strategic landscape buffers and B1 uses to north)</li> <li>Landscape scheme for whole site to be submitted with first reserved matters</li> <li>Phasing/implementation of Landscaping scheme</li> <li>Restrict total floorspace to 28,329sqm (max 9443sqm B1; max 9443sqm B2 and max 9443sqm B8)</li> <li>Restrict Permitted Development for change of use from the respective B1, B2 and B8</li> </ol>

- 8 Renewable energy
- 9 Sustainable construction measures
- 10 Water efficiency
- 11 Highway turning area
- 12 Highway cycle parking
- 13 Highway construction parking
- 14 Highway wheel cleaning facilities
- 15 Highways wheel cleaning facilities retained
- 16 Highways protection of improvement line
- 17 Highway safeguarding of land for Bus Rapid transit route
- 18 Highways detailed off site highway scheme to be approved
- 19 Highways scheme for traffic calming of Low Road
- 20 Highways detailed off site highway scheme A47 junction to be approved
- 21 Archaeology
- 22 Fire hydrants
- 23 Surface water drainage (including pollution prevention water quality)
- 24 Materials Management Plan (Minerals) to be submitted
- 25 Updated Ecological survey and Ecological management plan
- 26 Noise levels
- 27 Construction environmental management plan
- 28 Restriction of refrigeration units
- 29 No plant or machinery without consent
- 30 No dust/grit/extraction system without consent
- 31 Details of external lighting
- 32 Foul water to mains sewer only
- 33 Contamination

3 Appl. No : 2017/2843/O Parish : LITTLE MELTON

Applicants Name	:	Glavenhill Strategic Land (Number 8) Limited
Site Address	:	Land South of School Lane Little Melton Norfolk
Proposal	:	Development of land for residential dwellings, together with a single

point of access into the site from School Lane.

Decision : Members voted unanimously for **Refusal** 

#### Refused

- 1 Not sustainable development contrary to DM1.1 and NPPF 14
- 2 No overriding benefit contrary to DM1.3
- 3 Harm to landscape
- 4 Harm to form and character of settlement and lack of integration

# Major Applications Referred Back to Committee

4	Appl. No Parish		2016/1627/O PORINGLAND
	Applicants Name Site Address Proposal		Mr Kittle Land to the north of Heath Loke Poringland Norfolk Erection of 19 dwellings with access and all other matters reserved
	Decision	:	Members voted unanimously for <b>Refusal (contrary to officer</b> recommendation, which was unanimously lost)
			Refused
		:	In rebalancing the decision and in light of new evidence contained in the officer report, the following reasons were given for overturning Officer recommendation
			<ol> <li>Not sustainable development</li> <li>No overriding benefits</li> <li>Intrusion into landscape</li> <li>Not viable or deliverable</li> </ol>

## **Other Applications**

5	Appl. No Parish	:	2018/0091/O HETHERSETT
	Site Address Proposal	:	Land Rear Of 86 And 88 Ketts Oak Hethersett Norfolk New dwelling
	Decision	:	Members voted unanimously for <b>Approval</b>
			Approved with conditions <ol> <li>Time Limit</li> <li>Standard outline requiring RM</li> <li>Surface Water</li> <li>Standard Outline Condition</li> <li>Boundary treatment to be agreed</li> <li>Single 1.5 storey only</li> <li>Retain existing trees/hedge on western boundary</li> <li>Subject to S106 for the construction of only one of the dwellings, either 2018/0091 or 2017/2802</li> </ol>

6	Appl. No Parish	:	2018/0101/CU BAWBURGH
	Site Address Proposal	:	Villa Farm Watton Road Bawburgh Norfolk NR9 3LQ Retention of change of use of land from agricultural storage to extension to Car Display and Sales Area
	Decision	:	Members voted 5-3 (with 3 abstentions) for Approval
			Approved with conditions
			<ol> <li>Full Planning permission time limit</li> <li>In accord with submitted drawings</li> <li>staff parking and turning not for sales</li> <li>Full details of external lighting</li> <li>Limited Hours for Customer</li> <li>Retention of bund</li> </ol>
	Note	:	Members agreed that the Development Manager would draft a letter for the Chairman to send out to the Applicant regarding retrospective applications
7	Appl. No Parish	:	2018/0114/F BAWBURGH
	Site Address Proposal	:	Land To The West Of Harts Lane Bawburgh Norfolk Erection of two detached dwellings, one with new vehicular access
	Decision	:	Members voted 7-3 for <b>Refusal</b>
			Refused
			<ol> <li>Impact on River Valley</li> <li>Unsustainable development</li> <li>Does not comply with either of the relevant criterion of DM1.3</li> <li>Loss of important hedgerow</li> </ol>
8	Appl. No Parish	:	2018/0588/LB WORTWELL
	Site Address Proposal	:	Says Farmhouse 11 Low Road Wortwell IP20 0HJ Insertion of additional window in proposed studio (amendment to 2016/2897).
	Decision	:	Members voted 9-0 for Approval
			Approved with conditions
			<ol> <li>Listed Building Time Limit</li> <li>In accord with submitted drawings</li> </ol>

9	Appl. No Parish	:	2018/0639/H LONG STRATTON
	Site Address Proposal	:	63 Field Acre Way Long Stratton Norfolk NR15 2WE Single storey rear extension formed with pitch and flat roofs with juliette balcony - amendment to 2017/2883
	Decision	:	Members voted 9-0 for Approval
			Approved with conditions
			<ol> <li>Full Planning permission time limit</li> <li>In accord with submitted drawings</li> <li>Restriction on balcony use of roof</li> <li>Installation of rail to Juliette balcony to prevent access</li> </ol>