

Greater Norwich Homelessness Strategy 2020-25

| **Section** | **Subject** | **Page Number** |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Contents | 1 |
| i.  ii.  iii. | Introduction  July 2020: Covid-19 statement for greater Norwich homelessness strategy 2020-25  Introduction  What have we learnt from Covid-19  Recovery Plans | 2  4  4  4  5 |
| 1. | Strategic Context and Purpose   * 1. Rough Sleeping   2. Homelessness Reduction Act   3. Duty to Refer | 5  5  6  6 |
| 2. | National and Local Picture of Housing Market  2.1 Greater Norwich Housing Market  2.2 Delivery of Affordable Housing | 6  7  8 |
| 3. | Homelessness Review Key Findings  3.1 National Picture  3.11 Summary  3.12 Private Rented Sector Tenancies  3.2 Local Picture  3.21 Better Recording of Homelessness  3.22 Approaches to Housing Options Services for Assessment under the HRA 2017  3.23 Household Type Seeking Help to Prevent or Relieve their Homelessness  3.24 Age Bands Owed a Prevention or Relief Duty  3.25 Employment Status of Main Applicant Owed a Prevention or Relief Duty | 10  10  10  11  11  11  13  15  16  16 |
| 4. | Development of the Strategy | 17 |
| 5. | Where do we want to be? Our Vision | 17 |
| 6. | How will we get there?  **6.1 Priority One: Develop and Deliver Flexible Housing and Support Solutions to Enable People to Safely Move on from Domestic Abuse**  6.11 Local and National Picture  6.12 Actions to Support Households Experiencing Domestic Abuse  **6.2 Priority Two: Preventing Homelessness**  6.21 Discretionary Housing Payments  6.22 How we Approach Prevention in Greater Norwich  6.23 Actions: What we will do to Prevent Homelessness  **6.3 Priority Three: Single and Youth Homelessness**  6.31 Local Picture  6.32 Engagement with Young People and External Partners  6.33 Actions: What we will do about Single and Youth Homelessness  **6.4 Priority Four: Financial Inclusion, Welfare Reform and Economic Growth**  6.41 Poverty and Homelessness  6.42 Destitution  6.43 Economic Growth  6.44 Actions | 18  **18**  18  19  **20**  21  21  22  **23**  23  24  26  **26**  28  28  29  30 |
| 7. | Monitoring of the Strategy | 30 |
| 8. | Glossary of Terms | 31 |

Introduction

This document marks the fourth occasion that Broadland, Norwich City and South Norfolk Councils have worked together to develop a homelessness strategy for the Greater Norwich area.

In doing so, we are working from the following key assumptions:

* We will offer wraparound, multi-agency services that puts the individual or household at the centre in order to prevent homelessness.
* We want to prevent and alleviate homelessness in addition to reducing the drivers of homelessness
* We will work in partnership with other statutory services, organisations and the voluntary and community sectors to achieve this.

In order to meet these assumptions, we are focussing on the following four priority areas:

1. Domestic Abuse
2. Preventing Homelessness
3. Single and Youth Homelessness
4. Financial Inclusion, Welfare Reform and Economic Growth

Furthermore, Mental Ill Health can be a significant factor in increasing the risk of homelessness in addition, for those who are homeless or at risk of homelessness there can be a higher incidence of a negative impact on mental health. In this respect, acknowledgement of Mental Health is woven through our priorities instead of as a stand-alone area.

As highlighted above, as local authorities, we cannot work in isolation to prevent homelessness and we would like to thank our Greater Norwich Homelessness Forum (GNHF) partners and wider partners for their input into the development of this strategy and commitment to work with us to implement the actions agreed.

Partner Organisations who have contributed to the development of this draft strategy are:

|  |
| --- |
| Adult Social Care Commissioning - Norfolk County Council |
| Anchor Project – Leeway |
| Anglia Care Trust |
| Aylsham Care Trust (ACT) |
| Breckland District Council |
| Change, Grow, Live (CGL) |
| Children’s Services Leaving Care Team |
| Clarion Housing |
| Community Chaplaincy Norfolk |
| DWP |
| Integrated Offender Management - Norfolk Constabulary |
| Making Every Adult Matter (MEAM) |
| Mancroft Advice Project |
| National Probation Service |
| Norfolk and Suffolk Community Rehabilitation Company (NSCRC) |
| Norfolk and Suffolk Foundation Trust (NSFT) |
| Norfolk Community Law Service (NCLS) |
| Notting Hill Genesis |
| Orwell Housing Association Ltd |
| Saffron Housing Trust |
| Shelter |
| Solo Housing |
| Soul Foundation |
| St Giles Trust |
| St Martins Housing |
| Stronger Futures Leaving Care Team - Norfolk County Council |
| The Benjamin Foundation |
| The Feed |
| The Magdalene Group |
| YMCA |
| Your Own Place CIC |
| Youth Offending Team (YOT) |

Contained within this strategy is an outline of key legislation implemented during the period of the previous strategy, an overview of the local and national picture. The strategy also lists our four priority areas, proposed actions and the evidence and rationale behind them.

The consultation period ran from 12pm Friday 6th March 2020 until 11:59pm on Friday 01 June 2020[[1]](#footnote-1).

July 2020: Covid-19 statement for greater Norwich homelessness strategy 2020-25

Introduction

Whilst this strategy was out for public consultation the Covid-19 pandemic hit the world. Everyone has been affected by Covid-19 to a lesser or greater extent. As local housing authorities we were asked by central government to “get everyone in.” We were asked by central government to “focus on people who are, or are at risk of, sleeping rough, and those who are in accommodation where it is difficult to self-isolate, such as shelters and assessment centres.” To date we have accommodated well over 100 people into safe accommodation and moved people into more permanent homes. This vital work has been successful in saving lives and keeping people safe whilst we experienced the surge in the pandemic. This work has involved close work with our County Council, health, Police and voluntary sector colleagues. Community help hubs were formed managing the delivery of food supplies and medication to the most vulnerable in the greater Norwich area.

What have we learnt from Covid-19?

There has been tremendous pressures placed on households through the country and locally. It is likely that as a result of the pandemic that there will be medium to long term affects to our economy and local population placing increasing numbers of people at risk of homelessness. In the short term we have seen worrying increases in the number of reported domestic abuse cases, especially in Norwich. Also we have seen a number of businesses close and shed jobs as a result of the economic downturn. There is genuine concern that when the ban on evictions is ended in August 2020 we will begin to see a spike in private sector evictions as a result of households struggling to juggle their household finances with increasing debt. A sign of this has been the increase of households in receipt of welfare payments to help with their housing and daily living costs. It is not clear yet for how long the effects of the pandemic will have on the economy as whole. In a recent survey carried out by the Resolution Foundation found that, “….34 per cent of new UC claimants…are having trouble keeping up with bill payments, 42 per cent have cut back on spending to prioritise housing costs, and over half have already dipped into their savings.”[[2]](#footnote-2) It is likely that the following groups will continue to be affected by the pandemic:

* Increased levels of domestic abuse
* Young people
* Social renters
* People living in the private rented sector

The government has provided significant help and support to people affected by the pandemic, however, help such as the uprating of Local Housing Allowance levels will make little difference to those households already affected by the continuing household benefit cap that has not been lifted or temporarily removed.

Recovery plans

Each of the three local authorities in greater Norwich has released a recovery or blueprint plan to focus our efforts to help those in our communities worst affected by the pandemic including those who have lost their jobs, are vulnerable or facing uncertain financial times in their lives as well as building and harnessing the social capital that came to the fore as a result of the adversity that was faced.

We are confident that the priorities that we set in the draft consultation strategy are still relevant in light of the recent pandemic but we will ensure that we will continue review and monitor these priorities during the life of the strategy.

1. Strategic Context and Purpose

The Homelessness Act 2002 requires each local housing authority to review homelessness in its area and to develop a new homelessness strategy every five years. The Homelessness Code of Guidance provides guidance on housing authorities’ duties to carry out a homelessness review and to formulate and publish a strategy based on the results of that review.

1.1 Rough Sleeping

For a number of years Norwich has produced a rough sleeping strategy to help tackle and reduce the number of rough sleepers in the city. The current Norwich Tackling Rough Sleeping Strategy 2017-22 will run to the end of 2022, with a new strategy covering the Greater Norwich area running from 2022 (until 2027). South Norfolk and Broadland Councils will publish a Rough Sleeping Statement in 2020 to run until 2022, to cover the interim period before the introduction of the new Greater Norwich Rough Sleeping Strategy. The reason why we publish a separate rough sleeping and homelessness strategy is that we want to give clear focus and ownership of our efforts to reduce rough sleeping in our districts.

The focus of this strategy will be to look at the determinants of homelessness, such as:

* Youth and Single Homelessness
* Domestic Abuse
* Relationship Breakdown
* Low Income
* How we can strengthen our prevention of homelessness duties

1.2 Homelessness Reduction Act (HRA)

The Homelessness Reduction Act was implemented in April 2018.

In addition to the HRA there are two other sets of new regulations:

* The Homelessness Reduction Act (Commencement and Transitional and Savings Provisions) Regulations 2018.
* The Homelessness (Review Procedure) regulations 2018, which set out the procedures for conducting reviews under the Act and list the public authorities to which the duty to refer applies.

As well as the aforementioned, the government published a new statutory Homelessness Code of Guidance, which we as local housing authorities must have regard.

1.3 Duty to Refer

The HRA also brings in new duties to notify a local housing authority of service users they think may be homeless or at risk. This new duty has the potential to prevent more people from becoming homeless by encouraging public bodies to reduce and prevent homelessness.

In Greater Norwich alongside partners we have looked to strengthen this approach by leading and supporting work to produce a number of protocol documents that sets out our commitment to prevent homelessness. These protocols are:

* Greater Norwich & Breckland Criminal Justice Homelessness Prevention Protocol
* Joint Protocol to Address the Needs of Homeless Young People in Norfolk
* Proposed Norfolk Mental Health Hospital Discharge and Homelessness Prevention Protocol

Through this strategy we will commit to ensuring that these protocols are successful, that we are working sooner with individuals and other organisations to help stop homelessness before it begins.

In addition to this we are committed to improving the pathway for people who are homeless leaving hospital settings such as the Norfolk and Norwich University hospital and Hellesdon Hospital and will actively support and promote the long term implementation of initiatives such as District Direct through the actions in this strategy.

1. National and Local Picture of Housing Market

Nationally and locally the housing market has been surrounded by uncertainty caused by the following factors:

* Affordability issues
* Lack of stock
* Continuing political uncertainty
* Fears of interest rate rises[[3]](#footnote-3)

The government (in 2018) committed itself to a target of delivering an additional 300,000 homes up to the mid-2020s. This target is short of the 340,000 units per year (for fifteen years) that the National Housing Federation (NHF) and Crisis study projected that are required to meet housing need. The actual number of net additional dwellings delivered over the past six years is significantly short of these forecasts showing that meeting the required number of homes will be difficult to achieve in the future if the current housing market conditions continue.

Graph showing net additional dwellings in England 2012/2013 to 2017/18
Steady increase in dwellings from 125,000 in 2012/13 to 220,000 in 2017/18

2.1 Greater Norwich Housing Market

UK house prices grew by 0.7% in the year to July 2019[[4]](#footnote-4). In Greater Norwich we have seen larger increases in the average house price of 1.06%, 2.93%, and 2.30% in Norwich, South Norfolk and Broadland respectively pricing more people out of the housing market.

| **Period** | **BDC** | **NCC** | **SNC** | **UK average** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| July 2018 | £273,721 | £226,359 | £285,264 | £231,187 |
| July 2019 | £280.022 | £228,773 | £293,633 | £232,710 |

Table 2

| **Measure** | **Broadland** | **Norwich** | **South Norfolk** | **Eastern Region** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| House price to earnings ratio[[5]](#footnote-5) | 9.2 to 1 | 7.6 to 1 | 9.1 to 1 | 10 to 1 |
| Lower quartile house price to earnings ratio[[6]](#footnote-6) | 10 to 1 | 8.7 to 1 | 10.3 to 1 | 10.1 to 1 |

Table 3

Whilst house prices to earnings ratios are similar in Broadland and South Norfolk areas compared to the East of England, in Norwich these ratios are lower. However, wages tend to be lower in Norwich than South Norfolk and Broadland making the option of people owning their own home more difficult especially for those people on lower incomes.[[7]](#footnote-7)

2.2 Delivery of Affordable Housing[[8]](#footnote-8)

The Strategic Housing Market Assessment 2017 identified the housing need per year across Greater Norwich between 2015-2036 as:

| **Greater Norwich** | | **Market Housing** | **Affordable Housing** | **TOTAL** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Flat** | 1 bedroom | 61 (1,285) | 130 (2,725) | 191 (4,011) |
| 2+ bedrooms | 64 (1,352) | 67 (1,404) | 131 (2,756) |
| **House** | 2 bedrooms | 154 (3,227) | 136 (2,863) | 290 (6,090) |
| 3 bedrooms | 781 (16,393) | 154 (3,238) | 935 (19,632) |
| 4 bedrooms | 237 (4,982) | 31 (661) | 268 (5,642) |
| 5+ bedrooms | 58 (1,215) | 7 (140) | 65 (1,355) |
| **Total per year (avg.)** | | **1,355** | **525** | **1,880** |
| **Total for period of SHMA** | | **28,456** | **11,030** | **39,486** |

Table 4: Source: Fig 83 Central Norfolk SHMA 2017 (annualised, figures rounded)

The affordable housing is further split into affordable housing for rent and for low cost home ownership as follows:

| **Greater Norwich** | | **Affordable Housing for Rent** | **Low Cost Home Ownership** | **Total** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Flat | 1 bedroom | 118 (2,488) | 11 (238) | 129 (2,725) |
|  | 2+ bedrooms | 54 (1,131) | 13 (273) | 67 (1,404) |
| House | 2 bedrooms | 103 (2,153) | 34 (710) | 137 (2,863) |
|  | 3 bedrooms | 108 (2,263) | 46 (975) | 154 (3,238) |
|  | 4+ bedrooms | 30 (629) | 8 (173) | 38 (801) |
| **Total per year (avg.)** | | **413** | **113** | **525** |
| **Total for period of SHMA** | | **8664** | **2367** | **11,030** |

Table 5: Source: Fig 85 Central Norfolk SHMA 2017 (annualised, figures rounded)

The Greater Norwich Joint Core Strategy (JCS) uses the Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) as the evidence base for Policy 4 on housing.

The policy requires a percentage of affordable housing to be delivered on all developments of 10 dwellings or more, with 30% affordable housing required on developments of 10-15 dwellings and 33% on developments of 16 dwellings or more.

JCS Policy 4 also requires for a tenure split of affordable housing to be 85% affordable housing for rent and 15% as intermediate tenure.

The SHMA found that in Norwich and Broadland based on 35% of household income, 73% (203 of 278[[9]](#footnote-9)) and 71%[[10]](#footnote-10) of households in housing need could not afford target rent without help with their housing costs (welfare benefits) compared to 60% in South Norfolk. However, both in South Norfolk and Broadland there is a larger percentage of households able to afford affordable rent/home ownership products due to higher household incomes in those areas.

The Greater Norwich Development Partnership (GNDP) has recently published the Annual Monitoring Report (AMR) for 2018/19, which shows delivery in recent years as:

| **Indicator** | **Target** | **Location** | **14/15** | **15/16** | **16/17** | **17/18** | **18/19** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Net housing completions** | **Broadland - 706 pa** | **Broadland** | 405 | 598 | 644 | 679 | 640 |
| **Norwich - 477 pa** | **Norwich** | 249 | 365 | 445 | 237 | 927 |
| **South Norfolk - 863 pa** | **South Norfolk** | 1027 | 765 | 1162 | 1118 | 1212 |
| **Greater Norwich – 2,046 pa** | **Greater Norwich** | **1681** | **1728** | **2251** | **2034** | **2779** |
| **Affordable Housing Completions** | **Greater Norwich - 525 pa** | **Broadland** | 98 | 107 | 237 | 177 | 195 |
| **Norwich** | 50 | 25 | 44 | 56 | 137 |
| **South Norfolk** | 95 | 90 | 175 | 298 | 392 |
| **Greater Norwich** | **243** | **222** | **456** | **531** | **724** |

Table 6: Source: GNDP AMR 2018/19

This table shows that in 2018/19 affordable housing completions have exceeded the current target of 525 completions per year. This marks the highest level of delivery in the last 7 years and is the first time the annual target has been achieved. This level of delivery is clearly linked to the significant increase in overall housing delivery across the Greater Norwich area.

Continuing to meet the delivery target for affordable homes will remain a challenge however. Changes to the planning system mean that affordable housing cannot be required in certain circumstances e.g. due to the vacant building credit or the prior approval of office conversions (measures which have a particularly significant impact in Norwich City).

Another challenge to the delivery of affordable housing is that it has proved necessary to reduce the level of affordable housing secured on some sites to ensure that developments are viable. The authorities continue to scrutinise viability assessments submitted by developers to ensure that development meets the affordable housing target as far as possible. In addition, a number of section 106 agreements that accompany development include a “claw back” provision, which may mean that additional affordable housing will be delivered later, via a commuted sum, if viability improves.

The Greater Norwich Local Plan, which is being produced by Broadland District Council, Norwich City Council and South Norfolk Council working together with Norfolk County Council through the Greater Norwich Development Partnership (GNDP) and aims to produce an overarching plan which will help to meet local housing and economic growth needs, whilst also protecting and enhancing the environment.

Going forward the GNLP will allocate sites to deliver the required housing numbers, and will have an affordable housing requirement; however, there will be a delay before the affordable homes are completed.

Further information on the proposed GNLP can be found here: <https://www.gnlp.org.uk/>

1. Homelessness Review Key Findings

3.1 National Picture

3.11 Summary

The Homelessness Monitor: England 2019 concluded the following in its key findings:

* 71% of local authorities reported that homelessness had been increasing.
* The rise since 2010 in the number of households made homeless by the ending of private tenancies seem finally to have peaked.
* After rapid growth of rough sleeping since 2010, numbers have started to level in England.
* There is not enough social housing to meet housing need.
* Private sector rents seem to be falling however; growth in the private rented sector has exposed many more low-income households to higher housing costs.
* The safety net of housing benefit has now effectively ended for the bulk of private tenants in receipt of benefit across the country, whereby post-housing incomes were protected from erosion below basic benefit levels. Young people under 35 particularly badly affected by LHA and working age benefit freezes.
* Further changes to welfare reform could impact negatively on homelessness numbers, specifically the full roll out of Universal Credit.
* Most local authorities provided encouraging evidence that the HRA is enabling councils to help more people in housing need.

3.12 Private rented sector tenancies

Whilst the private sector can provide households with flexibility around types of housing in terms of where people want to live it is still the most common type of housing a person will be living in when someone asks for help at our council’s housing options teams. The English Housing Survey 2018-19 found that for private renters, the average length of residence was 4.4 years, compared to 18.1 and 11.6 for owner-occupiers and social renters. Our H-CLIC 2018-19 figures show that we need to do more to keep people in their private rented homes and help stop people having to move and face unnecessary disturbance in their lives.


3.2 Local Picture

3.21 Better Recording of Homelessness

The Homelessness Reduction Act (HRA) 2017 came into effect on 03 April 2018. The act places a greater emphasis on prevention helping all those people affected by homelessness regardless of priority need. The HRA updated the Housing Act 1996, producing new prevention and relief duties for local housing authorities in England.

With the advent of the HRA, local authorities now use a more accurate method of measuring homelessness compared to previous monitoring regimes. This data should provide local authorities nationally and locally of measuring trends in homelessness and specifically which households are most at risk. Future strategies and reviews will be evidence-based in order that services can be better targeted and responsive to customers’ needs.

The (experimental) data collected as part of this new legislation provides a greater depth of information on activities undertaken by local authorities to help or prevent homelessness and the outcomes of these activities. It is hoped that this information can be used to provide a greater understanding of homelessness by understanding the profile of the households that are approaching us for help. The following chart shows how a typical homeless (or threatened with homelessness) household is processed under the new legislation[[11]](#footnote-11):

****

We are likely to see increases in the number of homeless households in Greater Norwich by around 4.5% from 2021 to 2026, according to an analysis using the Core Homelessness Model for the South of England in which Greater Norwich is situated for the purposes of this study. [[12]](#footnote-12)

The study looks at what measures could reduce the rise in core homelessness. The study considers a number of scenarios:

* Cessation of welfare reductions
* Increase in supply of new housing (including affordable, especially in the South of England)
* Extensive homelessness prevention activity by local authorities
* Regional convergence in economic growth by rebalancing growth in areas outside of London and the South East

Graph showing no of homelessness households from 2011 and projecting figures to 2041. 
Graph shows overall numbers for Great Britain and split into colour codes regions.
Shows a steady increase in homeless households from appox. 110,000 in 2011 to just under 400,000 in 2041. 
Graph produced before Covid outbreak.

Diagram showing
Number of households assessed
Number and % of  households assessed as owed a duty
Main reason for loss of last settled home (top 4)
For Broadland, South Norfolk and Norwich. Also includes East of England average

3.22 Approaches to Housing Options Services for Assessment under the HRA 2017

The above diagram below shows the number of approaches to our Housing Options teams by households in 2018/19 by households stating that they are homeless or threatened with homelessness.

The statistics show that there were 2,190 households accessing the housing options teams in Greater Norwich, of these 82% were assessed as owed a duty. The main reason for loss of settled home in two of the three districts was end of a private sector tenancy. In Norwich, this reason was the third highest for a household losing their last settled home. It is likely that due to the experimental nature of the analysis, and the high number of ‘others’ recorded that this was the main reason for loss of last settled home in Norwich as well. The following statistics perhaps provide a clearer indication of the type of tenure households were in at the time of their application:

Broadland
1. Private rented
2. Living with family
3. Other
Norwich
1. Private rented
2. No fixed abode
3. Living with family
South Norfolk
1. Private rented
2. Living with family
3. No fixed abode

In common with the Eastern region, private rented is the tenure that most people are living in when approaching their housing options team for help with their housing situation. Living with family is in the top three most common tenures of the Eastern region and Greater Norwich areas, perhaps reflecting the younger cohort of people who face family eviction from their parents. No fixed abode is recorded in the top three of both Norwich and South Norfolk and is likely to include people in very temporary housing such as sofa surfing. In the East this is the fourth most common type of accommodation so it would suggest that both Norwich and South Norfolk are not that dissimilar.

Our approach in Greater Norwich is to try to prevent homelessness before it happens and ideally, persons at risk of homelessness will seek help before they become homeless and will work with every individual producing a Personalised Housing Plan (PHP). The following table shows the number of households assessed as either prevention or relief.

|  | **Broadland** | | **Norwich** | | **South Norfolk** | | **East** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Nos | % | Nos | % | Nos | % | % |
| Households Prevention | 102 | 53 | 876 | 66 | 166 | 57 | 56 |
| Households Relief | 91 | 47 | 435 | 34 | 126 | 43 | 44 |

Table 8

Both South Norfolk and Broadland councils are comparable to the East in the percentage of people who have their homelessness prevented. In Norwich, we reported a 10% higher figure (than the East) for households prevented from becoming homeless.

3.23 Household Type Seeking Help to Prevent or Relieve their Homelessness

The following information shows the household types that are seeking help with their housing. This information helps us understand the characteristics of the types of households who approach us so that we can put in place more effective interventions.

In Greater Norwich during 2018/19, the most common household type to seek preventative help were single households. This accounts for 66% of all prevention cases in Norwich, 54% in Broadland and 48% in South Norfolk. This household type (in the 2011 Census) accounted for 38%, 26% and 26% of all households in Norwich, Broadland and South Norfolk respectively. This number is even higher when we consider the number of single households who seek help with their homelessness when they are already homeless (relief) ; 83% of all relief cases in Norwich, 62% in Broadland and 61% in South Norfolk compared to 66% in the East. The majority of households who seek help when they are already homeless are single males although in South Norfolk and Broadland the gap is much smaller than Norwich.

|  | **Prevention/Relief** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Household type** | **BDC** | **NCC** | **SNC** | **East** |
| Single parent with dependents – Male | 6**/**3% | 1/1% | 2/4% | 3/3% |
| Single parent with dependents - Female | 21/26% | 19/9% | 25/17% | 30/20% |
| Single parent with dependents – Other/Gender not specified | 0/0% | 0/0% | 0/0% | 0/0% |
| Single adult - Male | 25/33% | 43/60% | 31/45% | 23/45% |
| Single adult - Female | 29/29% | 23/23% | 17/16% | 20/21% |
| Single adult – Other/gender not specified | 0/0% | 0/0% | 0/0% | 0/1% |
| Couple with dependent children | 8/4% | 8/1% | 8/10% | 13/5% |
| Couple/two adults without dependent children | 10/4% | 5/4% | 13/7% | 7/5% |
| Three or more adults with dependent children | 1/0% | 1/1% | 2/0% | 1/1% |
| Three or more adults without dependent children | 1/0% | 0/0% | 1/1% | 1/0% |
| Not known | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |

Table 9

3.24 Age Bands Owed a Prevention or Relief Duty

Age is clearly a determining factor in people becoming homeless of those households that were owed a Prevention or Relief duty often due to; low wages; lower welfare payments; or where young people are living at home with friends or extended family in often insecure living situations. The following table shows age groups (top 3) as a percentage owed this duty in 2018/19:

| **Area/Age band** | **East of England** | **Broadland** | **Norwich** | **South Norfolk** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 18-24 | 21% | 25% | 24% | 20% |
| 25-34 | 31% | 30% | 29% | 27% |
| 35-44 | 22% | 26% | 24% | 20% |

Table 10

Both Norwich and Broadland recorded higher numbers of 18-24 year olds than the Eastern region average.

3.25 Employment Status of Main Applicant Owed a Prevention or Relief Duty (compared to ONS Population Survey)[[13]](#footnote-13)

| **Employment status** | **East** | **BDC** | **NCC** | **SNC** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Registered unemployed | 19.97% | 8.8% (2.3%) | 25.63% (4.1%) | 11.99% |
| Not working due to long term illness/disability | 19.63% | 21.76% (n/a) | 18.54% (26.4%) | 31.16% |
| Full time work | 16.09% | 20.73% | 17.70% (73.3%) | 16.78% |
| Part time work | 14.20% | 25.91% | 11.14% | 11.64% |
| Not seeking work/at home | 11.76% | 10.36% | 6.18% | 13.01% |
| Not registered unemployed but seeking work | 4.22% | 2.07% | 5.57% | 1.71% |
| Retired | 2.79% | 2.07% | 1.68% | 6.16% |
| Student/Training | 1.51% | 0.00% | 1.53% | 2.74% |
| Other | 4.71% | 3.63% | 7.48% | 2.74% |
| Not known | 5.12% | 4.66% | 4.58% | 2.05% |

Table 11

The employment status of someone who is homeless can have significant consequences for their ability to afford a home. In addition, it is imperative that when people approach us for help that they can continue to stay in employment whilst they receive help to resolve their homelessness. Both Broadland and South Norfolk record lower levels of people asking for help who are unemployed compared to the East. Norwich saw nearly 6% more people who were unemployed compared to the Eastern region average. Around 47% of people who came in to Broadland were in some form of employment compared to 29% and 30% in Norwich and South Norfolk (similar levels to the East). Both Broadland and South Norfolk recorded higher percentages of people who were not working due to long-term illness/disability. Whilst recorded levels of people not working due to a long-term illness are high as a percentage of the working age population (26.4%) in Norwich we saw similar levels to the Eastern region.

1. Development of the Strategy

This is the fourth Greater Norwich Homelessness Strategy that has been produced since 2007. Although led by a small working group comprising a partner lead and the three local housing authorities the process has been co-produced by representatives from the 30 or so different organisations that actively participate in and contribute to the Greater Norwich Homelessness Forum (GNHF).

The Strategy you see before you today has been created over an 18 month period and has been influenced by

* The results of the Greater Norwich Homelessness Review 2018/19 with partners in the GNHF
* Feedback from a series of stakeholder workshops held in 2019
* National and Local Policy

This Strategy will take a more holistic look at homelessness, considering the wider impacts that being without a home can have on an individual whilst recognising that these often come at a high cost – to the individual, the wider community and to the services which seek to minimise the effects of being homeless.

Ultimately it is our aim to reduce the chances of homelessness occurring in the first place and for this reason prevention is at the heart of this strategy. By using early help approaches we will prevent people from becoming homeless in the first place and where this is not possible we will strive to reduce the likelihood that individuals will suffer long term effects of being homeless.

1. Where do we want to be? Our Vision

“Greater Norwich is a place where agencies work together to support people who are, or may become homeless. By putting people at the heart of our service delivery we can create a wrap-around offer that helps people to take control of their own circumstances earlier, and stopping them from becoming homeless will be our main aim. This will provide people with a firm platform where their health and wellbeing, employment or training opportunities are either maintained or improved.”

We will look to achieve this vision by committing to the following four priorities:

1. Domestic Abuse

2. Preventing Homelessness

3. Single and Youth Homelessness

4. Financial Inclusion, Welfare Reform and Economic Growth

1. How will we get there?

6.1 Priority one: Develop and Deliver Flexible Housing and Support Solutions to Enable People to Safely Move on from Domestic Abuse

6.11 Local and National Picture

During the financial year 2018/19 in Greater Norwich 234 households said that the main reason for the loss of their last settled home was because of domestic abuse. It is likely that this number is higher due under reporting. The reported number of 234 can be split geographically as follows:

* Broadland – 15 households
* Norwich – 177 households
* South Norfolk – 42 households

Nearly one in ten households that approach our housing options teams in Greater Norwich will do so because they are fleeing domestic abuse of some kind, often because they lack the economic resources to secure alternative accommodation after leaving an abusive relationship

National statistics state that almost 1 in 3 women aged between16-59 will experience DA in their lifetime (ONS, 2019) while an estimated 20% of children in the UK have lived with an adult perpetrating DA (NSPCC, 2011). At the opposite end of the age spectrum, Safelives reports that on average, older victims experience abuse for twice as long before seeking help as those aged under 61 and nearly half have a disability. (Safelives 2016).

While we recognise the disproportionate impact of DA on women and children it should also be noted that for those who identify as LGBTQ+, research from Stonewall (2018) suggests that 11% of the LGBT+ population have experienced domestic abuse in the previous 12 months; twice as high as the population as a whole. For bisexual women this increases to 13%, and for Trans or non-binary people to 19%. For men, approx. 4.2% of men suffered domestic abuse in 2018 (ONS). The comparative figure for women is 7.9%

Often the immediate need of a survivor fleeing domestic abuse is safety. Some survivors can stay safely in their home with adaptions to make the home safe. In other cases, people will need some form of transitional housing like a refuge or safe house to help bridge the gap before returning to independent housing. This time can be very unsettling for survivors and their families and the role of housing options teams is to ensure that the transition to safety and future housing options are available.

The national No Woman Turned Away[[14]](#footnote-14) project through Women’s Aid provided specialist support to women who faced barriers in their search for a refuge place after fleeing domestic abuse. The barriers may have been due to specialist needs, such as mental health support, no recourse to public funds or supporting four or more children. Of the 309 women supported through the duration of the project, while waiting to access a refuge space; 136 women sofa surfed with relatives, friends and even strangers; 22 women slept rough; 5 women slept rough with their children and 1 woman slept rough while pregnant. Additionally, 59 women experienced further abuse from the perpetrator and 30 women either remained or returned to the perpetrator. It should be noted that this report relates to a small cohort of women, however it highlights the vital importance of an appropriate and safe space to stay when fleeing domestic abuse.

Although there is always, opportunity to improve the offer and co-ordination of support available to those who have experienced Domestic Abuse it is important that we continue to contribute to the current systems. Crucial to this is the MARAC (Multi agency risk assessment conference) and the associated DASH assessment. Through this co-ordinated approach, partners consider the risk and required assistance on a daily basis making sure that there is no delay or gaps in provision provided to those experiencing DA. The DASH report also provides a tool to all professionals to make sure the correct questions are used whilst also ensuring consistency throughout the County.

Through our homelessness review consultation events to help inform this strategy the following issues were raised (in no order of importance):

* Welfare benefit issues with people with no recourse to public funds in refuges making it difficult for them to stay.
* Affordability issues for people accessing refuges who are working as rents are relatively high.
* Alternative affordable accommodation options for people who do not want to access a refuge.

6.12 Actions to Support households Experiencing Domestic Abuse

1. Develop and deliver flexible housing approaches to meet the needs of people fleeing domestic abuse, including male victims, people who identify as LGBTQI+ and those with more complex needs, across all tenures that are not currently being met by joint working with voluntary and statutory sector agencies.
2. Provide a consistent Greater Norwich response across our Help/Community Hubs through our funded domestic abuse services and ensuring that resource capacity is sufficient to meet expected demand.
3. Work with DA Services and the Mancroft Advice Project/Youth Advisory Boards to develop a Freedom/Power to Change course for young people.
4. Supporting the outcomes of the proposed Domestic Abuse Bill including the potential for new statutory duties for Tier 1 and Tier 2 authorities to convene DA Partnership Boards for commissioning of ‘domestic abuse safe accommodation.’
5. Ensuring specific provision to overcome challenges for those living in a rural area (Particularly relevant for Broadland and South Norfolk District areas).

6.2 Priority Two: Preventing Homelessness

All three local district housing authorities in Greater Norwich has a strong record in preventing and relieving homelessness, the data (from 2013/14 to 2017/18) below shows the number of households that were helped by our housing options teams.[[15]](#footnote-15) The data below also shows a comparative analysis of our homelessness prevention and relief statistics with the rest of the East of England average (per 1,000 households).

**Diagram showing prevention and relief statisits for Broadland, Norwich, South Norfolk and East of England
Number of households
Average number per 1,000 households
% assisted to find a new home.**

Prevention and Relief Statistics for Greater Norwich 2018/19

6.21 Discretionary Housing Payments

All local housing authorities (LHAs) can access Discretionary Housing Payments (DHP). The scheme allows LHAs to make financial awards to people experiencing financial difficulty with housing costs who qualify for housing benefit or universal credit housing costs. The Government has provided DHP since the introduction of welfare reforms in 2011. Specifically the fund is to help those affected by the following changes:

* Benefit cap
* Removal of the Spare Room Subsidy (Bedroom Tax)
* Local Housing Allowance shortfalls
* Households in financial difficulty

In total during 2018/19 in Greater Norwich £773,934 was awarded (Broadland £128,973, Norwich £469,892 and South Norfolk £174,897) for these purposes and can be broken down as follows (rounded up):

| **LHA** | **Benefit Cap** | **Bedroom Tax** | **Local Housing Allowance Shortfall** | **Core Housing Costs** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Broadland | 21% | 44% | 22% | 13% |
| Norwich | 21% | 61% | 9% | 10% |
| South Norfolk | 28% | 41% | 18% | 12% |

Table 12

Figures would suggest that across greater Norwich the households at greatest risk of homelessness have been subject to the removal of the spare room subsidy (bedroom tax). The difficulty for people living in properties that are larger than their requirements is the lack of smaller accommodation being available. The second highest is the benefit cap and this is more likely to affect families with children. Research has found that nationally lone parents head three-quarters of affected households.[[16]](#footnote-16)

As the above evidence shows these households affected by welfare reform are more at risk of becoming homeless. The funding allocation for 2019/20 has reduced by 15% compared to 2018/19. This reduction potentially could affect the numbers of households we can help who are struggling to keep a roof over their heads.

6.22 How We Approach Prevention in Greater Norwich

Broadland and South Norfolk

South Norfolk and Broadland, through their one team approach, have created a model whereby prevention is key in managing resource pull**,** allowing ever more focus on preventing people from facing the incredibly stressful situation where they do not have the security of a home for themselves and their family. We have taken the proactive decision to bring our Housing and Benefit teams together under one management team providing a seamless service to residents. Included within this team are; Housing Solutions Officers, where proactive and outcome focussed decisions are expected; Support Advisers who provide floating support and training, specially trained visiting Benefit Staff who can quickly ascertain housing concerns and full utilisation of our Discretionary Housing Payment fund to make sure we achieve our aim in providing sustainable accommodation to all those who need it

The Housing and Benefit teams are also located within our Help Hub which comprises over 30 partner agencies that deliver 52 different specialisms that all aid in preventing homelessness. Included within are Debt and Welfare advice, Domestic Abuse Advisers, Social Services, and the Police in addition to links with the Norwich City Pathways service and Mental Health support for those at risk of homelessness. This provides easily accessible holistic advice and support to people.  The Community Connectors provide a Help Hub presence in our communities, delivering a Social Prescribing programme. Connectors are trained in recognising potential housing issues. This early identification is key for residents to obtain early access to a package of services that meet their needs.

Norwich

Since 2007, NCC has used a prevention-based approach to dealing with homelessness. In the intervening period, this has led to the council being awarded ‘Regional Champions’, ‘Trailblazer’ and ‘Gold Standard’ status to recognise the success of our commitment and approach to the prevention of homelessness.

We are committed to providing an accessible service, with duty Homelessness Prevention Advisers available daily on a drop-in basis, providing expert advice to clients in housing need. Through all other channels, Housing Options Officers deal with all of the department’s contact, ensuring specialist advice at the first point of contact for all.

Our focus on specialism in housing advice and support extends to the provision of specialist co-ordinators in the areas of domestic abuse, rough sleeping and the private rented sector.

We provide a range of options to our clients and projects which originated in our housing options service including ‘LetNCC’, which provides 300 units of privately leased accommodation to clients in housing need and ‘The Feed’, a social enterprise which helps help people who have experienced homelessness, helping people access long term housing, training and employment.

6.23 Actions: What we will do to Prevent Homelessness

1. Continue to monitor the progress of the following protocols including:

* Greater Norwich & Breckland Criminal Justice Homelessness Prevention Protocol
* Joint Protocol to Address the Needs of Homeless Young People in Norfolk
* Proposed Norfolk Mental Health Hospital Discharge and Homelessness Prevention Protocol

1. Undertake quarterly analysis of H-CLIC data to deliver service improvements, monitor levels of homelessness and report this to the Greater Norwich homelessness forum and members.
2. Increase the number of households at risk of homelessness staying in their own homes following contact with our housing options services.
3. Seek to identify funding to deliver upstream homelessness prevention in places of education including wider family networks.
4. Taking a person centred approach - work with our partners in the voluntary and statutory sector to ensure that we use our combined resources effectively to prevent homelessness (for example commissioning of services).
5. Identify gaps and celebrate success in homelessness prevention with members of the Greater Norwich Homelessness Forum to inform and improve service delivery across the statutory and voluntary sector.
6. Identify shared training opportunities with Greater Norwich Housing Options teams and other agencies.

6.3 Priority Three: Single and Youth Homelessness

6.31 Local Picture

What we mean by single homelessness people in the context of this priority is predominantly people in the 16-35 year old age group. Unfortunately, too many people in this group are threatened with, or become homeless in Greater Norwich; this is both a local and a national problem. [[17]](#footnote-17) [[18]](#footnote-18) Through our homelessness review we found that as a whole 55, 53 or 47% (BDC, NCC and SNC) of presentations in 2018/19 were from people in the 16 to 35 year old age group. As previously mentioned both Norwich and Broadland saw slightly higher levels of presentations from this group compared to the Eastern average of 52%; South Norfolk saw slightly lower levels. As a proportion of the population this age group is disproportionately affected by homelessness than older people making up 19% (in BDC and SNC) and 36% (NCC) of our total populations.[[19]](#footnote-19)

Recorded numbers of 16/17 year olds approaching the Greater Norwich Councils are very low with only 5 individuals approaching for help during 2018/19.

Nationally over the last decade there has been an increase of nearly 700,000 of 20 – 34 year olds living with their parents (this is a 28% increase). The number of households headed by younger people has also fallen in the last decade; rates are 32% lower in London and the South East.[[20]](#footnote-20) This is reflected locally in the number of people who become homeless following family or parental eviction. All three local authorities in Greater Norwich recorded this accommodation situation (living with family) when they approached us for help as one of the top three reasons for their homelessness. Locally, specialist young person services like Mancroft Advice Project have seen an increase of 23% from 2016 to 2018 in the number of young people accessing their young person housing advice service over the past three years.[[21]](#footnote-21) The main reasons for seeking advice were:

* Housing options
* Obtaining hostel accommodation
* Applying as homeless

6.32 Engagement with Young People and External Partners

As part of preparation for this strategy, we consulted statutory, voluntary sector partners and young people to ask them what they thought the priorities where for young people. These are a selection of what they thought at a Greater Norwich Homelessness Forum in December 2018 and January 2019:

| **Issue** | **Comments** |
| --- | --- |
| Care leavers and transitioning to adulthood | * Improve variety of options to meet different needs   Maintain Joint Protocol to Address the Needs of Homeless Young People in Norfolk   * Use Personalised Housing Plans (PHPs), include goals and a “plan b” with other options e.g. crisis/respite even when in current accommodation. |
| Preventative work | * 18 plus young people should need support (include housing advice and budgeting) and this should be default offer. * Proactive work with schools should be considered and other agencies. |
| Partnership working | * Better connection between districts and Children Services needed * 21-25 year olds not engaging with Children Services or Adult Social Care. Should be better signposting for support. |

Table 14

We also spoke to young people who had experienced homelessness and members of the Youth Advisory Board around what their views were around homelessness. We used the St Basils Positive Pathway Framework model to develop their thoughts and suggestions. A sample of their responses are as follows.[[22]](#footnote-22)

| **Subject** | **Comments** |
| --- | --- |
| 1. Intervention at earliest opportunity to stop youth homelessness | Mandatory education in school that demonstrates key home management and tenancy skills. This should include finance management, taxes and welfare support, where to go for housing support and what to do in housing emergencies.  Support services should be easily accessible.  Address perceived stigma of social or supported housing. |
| 1. Safeguard young people | More supported housing for young people with less requirements.  Independent support workers offered to help young people find and maintain a home.  Local support groups in schools or community areas for people struggling with housing issues or homelessness.  Specialist support for LGBTQI+ youth. This should include mediation for families. |
| 1. Improve transition for young people to independence | More housing available to young people on benefits and low incomes in areas close to amenities and are inexpensive to run and live in such as Passiv-haus. |
| 1. Develop specialist housing services and intervention | Independent support workers offered to young people to support finding and maintaining a home. |

Table 15

6.33 Actions: What we will do about Single and Youth Homelessness

1. Consider and explore options for additional support to help single young people find and maintain a home.
2. Enable the provision of affordable single person homes.
3. Explore funding streams to provide mediation for young people and families before crisis wherever possible.
4. Seek to achieve a commitment from all housing providers to identify, promote and further develop specific models of housing supply that helps young adults gain independence.

6.4 Priority four: Financial Inclusion, Welfare Reform and Economic Growth.

The increase in the cost of housing is one of a number of areas that have had a sustained impact on the cost of living (explored in more detail below). In addition, the range of sustained austerity measures implemented since 2010 and the increase of necessary expenditure is putting unsustainable pressure on people and families finances.

Those on low incomes are less likely to have access to the best offers and interest rates for bank accounts and credit borrowing. They are also more likely to be paying more for their utilities and insurance products.[[23]](#footnote-23) For those living in rural areas, this gap can be more pronounced as public transport options are limited and, where the household has access to a car, this could be through high interest credit or could be for a much older car which will have an increased likelihood of higher tax and maintenance costs. Similarly, choice can be reduced even in the simple terms of how groceries are purchased and access to the internet. Either because it is too expensive or there is a lack of suitable options in the area in which they live.

To add, the implementation of self-serve technology is becoming more widely spread. With sustained pressure on public sector finances, organisations may turn to technology to streamline how services are accessed and to provide efficiencies. UC being the best-known example of this. Self-serve can be a positive step as it allows people to access a more flexible service at the time that suits them. However, this needs to be provided as a suite of options to meet the accessibility requirements of our residents, for example, those without internet access and those with additional support or complex needs.

Welfare reform, often prompted by Universal Credit has caused hardship within the sub-region.[[24]](#footnote-24)The principles of Universal Credit are sound in that they aim to allow people to be prepared to move into work, gain greater budgeting capacity and react quickly to changes in income thus avoiding overpayments and debt. Where there have however, been continued issues, is through its implementation and where it has been used to create savings throughout the period of austerity the country has faced.

Linked to this is the use of zero hours contracts and the gig economy, employment types which have grown exponentially within the last 6 years and with those who work in this sector more likely to claim UC as an in-work benefit. There are some advantages to this type of work for some people, in that they provide flexibility to workers who do not want to commit to contracted hours. However, uncertain hours, low pay and concerns over the administration of UC makes it difficult to budget too far ahead and decreases resilience where individuals may seek other, more sustainable, training and employment opportunities.

In terms of the cost of housing in relation to income, the latest figures show that in recent years rents in England and Wales have grown 60% quicker than wages.[[25]](#footnote-25)Those who previously would have purchased are finding the prospect much more difficult.

Additionally, this is represented through the Local Housing Allowance gap between what is actually available to those claiming Housing Benefit or the Housing element of Universal Credit and rental charges within the region. A recent published report[[26]](#footnote-26) found that nationally only 5.6% of homes that are advertised fall within or below what is available within the benefit system. To add to this many Landlords are refusing access to their properties to those claiming benefits.

The ongoing increase in the pull on people’s finances can make the prospect of purchasing or even privately renting a property unachievable meaning that options for the simple provision of a home are significantly reduced.

6.41 Poverty and Homelessness

“There is also now extensive international evidence on the interrelationship between poverty and domestic violence,[[27]](#footnote-27) which in turn is a key trigger for homelessness amongst women and children.[[28]](#footnote-28) Thus people facing poverty may find their social as well as material capital depleted, while also being more likely to experience personal circumstances that lay them open to homelessness, again reinforcing the potential interconnectedness between structural and more personal or interpersonal causes of homelessness.”[[29]](#footnote-29)

We also know that family and other ‘anchor’ social relationships – argued to be an especially important ‘buffer’ to homelessness[[30]](#footnote-30) – can be put under considerable strain by the stressors associated with poverty in the household.[[31]](#footnote-31)

Research by Crisis[[32]](#footnote-32) has found, based on extensive research that the drivers of homelessness in its different forms are:

* Poverty
* Availability of housing that is affordable and accessible
* Extent that local authorities employ the full range of prevention measures
* Use of unsuitable forms of temporary accommodation
* Demographics of people including age and household composition (single people and lone parents) and persons with complex needs

6.42 Destitution[[33]](#footnote-33)[[34]](#footnote-34)

A report by the Joseph Rowntree Foundation (JRF) published in 2017 updated an earlier study in 2015 providing an overview of the level of destitution in the UK and the main drivers for its existence. Destitution was measured using face to face interviews and a review of quantitative data provided district level national-level estimates. People found destitute were more likely to be:

* Migrant groups
* Single men under 35
* Living in rented accommodation or are living in temporary or shared living arrangements
* Sleeping rough

The study found that there were a number of reasons for why people fell into destitution including:

* Multiple debts
* Benefit gaps, delays, sanctions and freezes
* Disability and ill health
* Housing benefit not covering rental costs
* Low paid work with erratic hours worked
* Relationship breakdown (including domestic abuse) combined with debt and housing difficulties

Norwich (and Oxford) was found to be in the top decile on overall estimated destitution in the UK amongst predominantly former industrial centred local authorities and inner London Boroughs. The table below compares Norwich to the other greater Norwich local authorities (10=high to 1=low).

| **Area** | **Migrant** | **Complex Needs** | **UK other** | **All destitute** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Norwich | 8 | 10 | 8 | 10 |
| South Norfolk | 3 | 5 | 1 | 2 |
| Broadland | 1 | 5 | 2 | 2 |

Table 16

6.43 Economic Growth

The built-up Norwich urban area extends beyond the Norwich City Council boundary, with extensive suburban areas on the western, northern and eastern sides. The population of the urban area is estimated to be 220,000; around 28 per cent of the urban population lives in Broadland and a further 7 per cent lives in South Norfolk.

The city centre of Norwich is a catalyst for economic growth across Greater Norwich, encouraging investment into the area. However an ongoing trend has been a movement of jobs away from the Norwich local authority area to the urban fringe. Much of this has been brought about by the provision of high-quality office space in out-of-town business parks in the adjoining local authorities. In addition, market towns at Diss, Harleston and Aylsham and other local employment sites provide accessible employment for rural areas.[[35]](#footnote-35) [[36]](#footnote-36)

One of the key roles Councils play in the sustained delivery of economic opportunities is by attracting the right business for our demographic, future proofing our economy to make sure that there are suitable opportunities to our residents. Where opportunities to diversify present themselves, It is vital that we co-ordinate, alongside the DWP and partners, for example, the New Anglia Local Enterprise Partnership (LEP); and the Greater Norwich Growth Board (GNGB) in addition to training providers to make sure that our residents are well placed to meet the demands of businesses located in our districts, ensuring our area remains attractive to investment.

Our role as local planning and housing authorities closely compliments economic growth delivery, in addition to making sure those who need extra support have access to it.

6.44 Actions

It is essential that the role of the Greater Norwich Councils is to co-ordinate and prioritise economic sustainability and growth to provide access to opportunities for our residents.

1. Supporting the Inclusive Growth agenda at a County and Local Authority level – Improving access to skills, training and employment opportunities
2. Building the right properties - Learning from the great achievements made within each Council over the last 5 years and to continue to produce sustained delivery of affordable housing and social energy efficient properties with sustainable tenures.
3. Economic Delivery – Support and enable the delivery of the ambitions of the Economic Strategies and the Greater Norwich Local Plan across the local authority areas,
4. Explore and develop our offer to increase accessibility and availability – ensuring we can enable residents and customers to access services at the right time and location for them
5. Monitoring of the strategy

To ensure we are taking a proactive approach to preventing and reducing homelessness, we will review this homelessness strategy annually with our partners through the Greater Norwich Homelessness Forum (GNHF) and its thematic sub-groups.

The 2021 review will take place as part of the work into the next iteration of the Rough Sleeper Strategy 2022-2025.

The GNHF will also provide annual review and challenge points to measure that we are achieving the actions set out in this strategy and help us to identify where they may need to be amended, for example to meet a priority not currently identified or where there are changes in legislation.

1. Glossary of Terms

| **Term** | **Definition** |
| --- | --- |
| Bedroom Tax | Formally known as the ‘Spare Room Subsidy’. Housing Benefit or Universal Credit Housing element is reduced if classed as having one or more spare bedrooms in a council or socially rented property.  The maximum rent that can be covered is reduced by:   * 14% for 1 spare bedroom * 25% for 2 or more spare bedrooms |
| Benefit Cap | Limit on the total amount of certain benefits you can get if you are working age. The benefit cap affects those households getting Housing Benefit or Universal Credit. If the cap affects the household; Housing Benefit or Universal Credit is reduced. |
| Broad Rental Market Area (BRMA) | The BRMA is an area defined by the Valuation Office Agency and is an area of residential accommodation within which a person could move and still have access to similar services of a similar standard. Each BRMA has its own set of LHA rates. Your postcode defines which BRMA in which you live. |
| Choice Based Lettings (CBL) | Choice based lettings gives a household the chance to apply for empty council and housing association properties that the council or housing association advertises (Currently Norwich and South Norfolk Council’s operate this system). |
| Clinical Commissioning Group | Clinical Commissioning Groups are responsible for implementing the commissioning roles as set out in the Health and Social Care Act 2012.  From April 2020, the 5 Norfolk and Waveney CCGs will merge and become Norfolk and Waveney Clinical Commissioning Group with one Governing Body and Leadership Team. |
| Commuted Sums | The main objective of a local authority should be to deliver the affordable homes on-site as part of a new development. However, on some developments this may not be possible and there may be sound planning or housing reasons where off site delivery can be justified and it may be acceptable to take an off-site **commuted sum** of broadly equivalent value in lieu of part or all of the affordable housing provision on a site. The developer must pay the Council money to enable the equivalent affordable housing to be built or provided on another site. This payment is called a **commuted sum** and it is agreed and secured via a planning obligation under Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990. This is the legal mechanism which makes a development proposal acceptable in planning terms that would not otherwise be  acceptable |
| Consumer Price Index (CPI) | A [measure](http://www.businessdictionary.com/definition/measure.html) of [changes](http://www.businessdictionary.com/definition/changes.html) in the purchasing-power of a [currency](http://www.businessdictionary.com/definition/currency.html) and the [rate of inflation](http://www.businessdictionary.com/definition/rate-of-inflation.html). The consumer price index expresses the [current](http://www.businessdictionary.com/definition/current.html) [prices](http://www.businessdictionary.com/definition/price.html) of a [basket of goods](http://www.businessdictionary.com/definition/basket-of-goods.html) and [services](http://www.businessdictionary.com/definition/services.html) in [terms](http://www.businessdictionary.com/definition/term.html) of the prices during the same [period](http://www.businessdictionary.com/definition/period.html) in a previous year, to show effect of [inflation](http://www.businessdictionary.com/definition/inflation.html) on [purchasing power](http://www.businessdictionary.com/definition/purchasing-power.html). |
| DASH (Domestic abuse, stalking and harassment and honour based violence risk identification, assessment and management model) | Common checklist for identifying and assessing risk for use by practitioners. |
| Discretionary Housing Payments (DHP) | Local housing authorities have the ability to authorise DHP to those who may require some financial assistance in order to meet their housing costs. |
| Duty to Refer | A formal duty placed on public bodies under the Homelessness Reduction Act 2017 to refer individuals or are homeless or threatened with homelessness into a local authority of the individuals choosing. Public bodies are; prisons (public and contracted out); youth offender institutions and youth offending teams; secure training centres (public and contracted out) and colleges; probation services (community rehabilitation companies and national probation service); jobcentre plus; accident and emergency; services provided in a hospital; urgent treatment centres; and hospitals in their capacity of providing in-patient treatment; social service authorities. |
| Early Help and Family Support | Giving the right help to children and their families at the earliest opportunity. For those who need more help than their usual support network but do not meet the criteria for formal intervention within Children’s Services |
| First Step | The Rough Sleeping Service available in South Norfolk and Broadland. Provision of short-term accommodation and support provided by Rough Sleeping Coordinators |
| Greater Norwich Homelessness Forum | Quarterly forum held by Norwich City and Broadland & South Norfolk Councils. Representatives attend from statutory, commissioned and VCSE organisations. The forum will be the mechanism through which the strategy is monitored. |
| Greater Norwich Local Plan 2018-2038 (GNLP) | The Greater Norwich Local Plan (GNLP) is being produced by Broadland District Council, Norwich City Council and South Norfolk Council working together with Norfolk County Council through the Greater Norwich Development Partnership (GNDP). It includes policies which will be used to determine planning applications in the Greater Norwich area and will identify sites for new homes, jobs, community facilities and infrastructure |
| Health and Wellbeing Boards | Statutory bodies introduced in England under the Health and Social Care Act 2012. According to the Act, each upper-tier local authority in England is required to form a health and wellbeing board as a committee of that authority. |
| Help Hubs | Areas within local authorities for co-location of local authority and other services, for example; Housing, Children’s Services, Community Safety, Domestic Abuse services, Social Prescribing. Each local authority has a Help Hub but they will differ in operation and some of the services offered. |
| Homelessness Reduction Act 2017 | Places additional duties on local authorities; provide advice and guidance for all households approaching the LA and to meet the needs of certain listed groups including those leaving prison, hospital or care and those with mental health issues ; to **prevent** those threatened with homelessness from becoming homeless; to **relieve** homelessness for those who are homeless. Both prevention and relief duties last for 56 days but can be ended in several ways in that time |
| House of Multiple Occupation (HMO) | A HMO is a building, or part of a building that is occupied by persons who do not form a single household - in other words, are not family members. From 1st October 2018 all HMOs that are let to 5 or more unrelated tenants who form more than one household and who share toilet, bathroom or kitchen facilities require a licence from the local authority |
| Joint Strategic Needs Assessment (JSNA) | The means by which CCGs and local authorities describe the future health, care and wellbeing needs of the local populations and to identify the strategic direction of service delivery to meet those needs. |
| Let NCC | Norwich City Council’s private sector leasing scheme. |
| Local Housing Allowance (LHA) | Local housing allowance is housing benefit that helps pay the rent if you rent from a private landlord. |
| Looked After Children (LAC) | Looked After - a provision made under the Children’s Act 1989 in England and Wales, whereby a local authority / Health and Social Care Trust has obligations to provide for, or share, the care of a child or young person under 16 years of age where parent(s) or guardian(s) for whatever reason are prevented from providing them with a suitable accommodation or care. A child is ‘looked after’ if he or she is provided with accommodation. |
| Multi-agency risk assessment conference (MARAC) | A MARAC is a risk management meeting where professionals share information on high risk cases of domestic violence and abuse and put in place a risk management plan. |
| Not in Employment, Education or Training (NEET) | A young person who is no longer in the education system and who is not working or being trained for work. |
| No Fixed Abode (NFA) | A person having no permanent residence. |
| Pathways | Rough Sleeping Service in the Norwich City and Broadland areas. Consists of 8 partner agencies, provision of accommodation and support. |
| Severe Weather Emergency Protocol (SWEP) | People sleeping rough in Greater Norwich can access emergency accommodation and support during periods of exceptionally cold weather through the SWEP. |
| Sofa surfing | Staying temporarily with various friends and relatives while attempting to find permanent accommodation. |
| Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) | The Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) was updated in June 2017 Its purpose is to set out the [Objectively Assessed Need (OAN)](http://planningguidance.communities.gov.uk/blog/guidance/housing-and-economic-development-needs-assessments/the-approach-to-assessing-need/) for housing in the local planning areas of Broadland, Breckland, North Norfolk, Norwich and South Norfolk together with the Broads Authority, including affordable housing, and will be used by the commissioning authorities as a shared evidence base to inform the preparation of Local Plans. |
| Supported Lodgings | Supported Lodgings is an accommodation scheme for vulnerable young people aged 16-24 across Norfolk. Supported Lodgings provides young people who find themselves with nowhere to live and little to no support network, with a safe, supportive place to stay in the homes of local people. |
| Universal Credit | A benefit for those who are not working or on a low income. It is replacing six other benefits; Housing Benefit, Income Support, Income Based Jobseekers Allowance, Income Based Employment and Support Allowance, Child Tax Credits and Working Tax Credits and is paid monthly. |
|  |  |

1. Consultation was extended because of Covid-19 pandemic. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Page 65, *This time it’s different – Universal Credits first recession,* (Resolution Foundation, May 2020). [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors (RICS), *Housing Forecast 2019*, London Dec 2018. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. Source: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/uk-house-price-index-summary-july-2019/uk-house-price-index-summary-july-2019#about-the-uk-house-price-index> ,Accessed on 19/09/2019. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. Source: Hometrack (accessed 19/08/2019): based on data from the latest Annual Survey of Hours and Earnings and sales and valuations over the last **12** months. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
6. Ibid. [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
7. Further information on wages will be accessible in our Homelessness review document that will be published alongside the final strategy document. [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
8. <https://www.greaternorwichgrowth.org.uk/planning/monitoring/> Accessed on 14/02/2020 [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
9. Fig 102 Central Norfolk SHMA 2017 (annualised). [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
10. Ibid. [↑](#footnote-ref-10)
11. MHCLG, Page 3, *statutory homelessness statistical release*, England 2018/19, (March 2019). [↑](#footnote-ref-11)
12. Bramley, G, 2017, *Homelessness projections: Core homelessness in Great Britain, Summary report*, Heriot Watt University. [↑](#footnote-ref-12)
13. <https://www.nomisweb.co.uk/reports/lmp/la/1946157237/report.aspx?#ls> accessed on 05/02/2020 [↑](#footnote-ref-13)
14. <https://www.womensaid.org.uk/no-woman-turned-away/> [↑](#footnote-ref-14)
15. This data recording system no longer exists, being superseded by the HRA Act 2017 statistical recording requirements. [↑](#footnote-ref-15)
16. Page XXIII – 1, CRISIS, *The homelessness monitor: England, 2019.* [↑](#footnote-ref-16)
17. 16 to 25 year olds [↑](#footnote-ref-17)
18. 26 to 35 year olds [↑](#footnote-ref-18)
19. <https://www.norfolkinsight.org.uk/population/report/view/b15822d80ec54439bb12134b7c857bb9/E07000149> Accessed on 12/02/2020. [↑](#footnote-ref-19)
20. Page XIV, The CRISIS, *The homelessness monitor: England, 2019.* [↑](#footnote-ref-20)
21. Mancroft Advice Project saw 375,421 and 463 young people in the years 2016, 17 and 18. [↑](#footnote-ref-21)
22. The full response from the Youth Advisory Board members will be included in the Greater Norwich homelessness review document and will be published alongside the final strategy. [↑](#footnote-ref-22)
23. Select Committee on Financial Inclusion 2017 [↑](#footnote-ref-23)
24. The Trussell Trust 2019 [↑](#footnote-ref-24)
25. [(Shelter 2018)](https://blog.shelter.org.uk/2018/08/flatlining-wages-surging-rents-and-a-national-affordability-crisis/). [↑](#footnote-ref-25)
26. McClenaghan et al, 2019 [↑](#footnote-ref-26)
27. Fahmy *et al.*, 2016 [↑](#footnote-ref-27)
28. Hutchinson *et al.*, 2015 [↑](#footnote-ref-28)
29. Bramley & Fitzpatrick, *Homelessness in the UK: who is most at risk?*, Housing studies,2018 [↑](#footnote-ref-29)
30. (Johnson et al., 2015; Lemos, 2000; Tabner,2010 [↑](#footnote-ref-30)
31. Ibid. Johnsen & Watts, 2014; Pinderhughes et al., 2007 [↑](#footnote-ref-31)
32. Bramley,G,2017, *Homelessness projections: Core homelessness in Great Britain, Summary report*, Heriot Watt University [↑](#footnote-ref-32)
33. People are destitute if:

    a) They have lacked two or more of these six essentials over the past month, because they cannot afford

    them:

     shelter (have slept rough for one or more nights)

     food (have had fewer than two meals a day for two or more days)

     heating their home (have been unable to do this for five or more days)

     lighting their home (have been unable to do this for five or more days)

     clothing and footwear (appropriate for weather)

     Basic toiletries (soap, shampoo, toothpaste, toothbrush). [↑](#footnote-ref-33)
34. Fitzpatrick, S & Bramley, G et al, *Destitution in the UK 2018*, JRF, 2018. [↑](#footnote-ref-34)
35. Norwich Economic Strategy 2019-2024 [↑](#footnote-ref-35)
36. <https://www.gnlp.org.uk/assets/Uploads/Reg-18-Final-Strategy-Document-0702.pdf> [↑](#footnote-ref-36)